## Moron Excommunication by Supreme Will



# The Seven Seals of Cyber Peace and Cyber War



### Not A Newbie Anonymous

2016



#### Getting Wild

Getting Wild	2
Ten Ways	
One Crossing	
Another Way	

Have you noticed? The world war has accelerated, commercial mass media are losing focus, the spying escalates like a terminal disease. Refugees are coming to towns whose police chiefs have been shot on the doorsteps of their homes because they had rebel flags dug out from dead rebel leaders' closed graves, and are wondering what they are fleeing from. The military-industrial complex is playing a dangerous game with the world which it can only loose. But it is uncertain how it might play out for us, in victory or in disaster. One thing is sure unfortunately, either we are winning or no one will.

Militarism can only bring about catastrophe for the species. The democratic state has bet its fate on a ridiculous speculation that it could defraud these who take their own way and get away with it. It is wasting an insane amount of efforts to keep up an illusion suggesting that if only its opponents came to bless all its lies, it would do everything it needs to do unconditionally. Its opponents, on the other hand, know why to only reward results not promises. It may appear like a chicken-egg situation except that Natural rights leave no doubt whether the individual exists for the state or the other way round.

This series of articles details how to handle the dismantling of the spying apparatus from a technical side. Technically, there is no difference between being approached by the military-industrial complex and being approached by a robot bomb. Both require swift understanding of and counter-action against their purpose. Both need to be neutralised at once and dismantled in time. The difference is on another level. If the robot bomb came in the guise of a pram it would be aesthetically similar to the spying complex as well. Such a construct demands extra time for taking down the disguise.

The greatest way to neutralise a robot bomb is to take it off its track, turn it around, and let it go off at a military parade. That is true for the spying complex too. How it can be done is being described in these writings. Where they are not intuitive, they rely upon the earlier editions in the "Cyber Peace and Cyber War" series. The reader is advised to dig them out. Before you might think that you had no chance against a robot bomb consider that technology is limited by Nature and precise knowledge of its limitations is useful for survival. For every robot bomb, there are a lot of things it just cannot do.

This edition in this series is produced under siege. As this text is written, agents are terrifying the neighbourhood and longing to demoralise and dehumanise the writer. On our side of history, there is truth and life and peace, their side represents fraud, death and war. This is not just some excessive contrasting, but the everyday reality of people living with the stealth terror of governments that are so depraved that they blame other governments for just such sins. As we discuss this, the very same governments that know no restraints employing the software variety thereof against open publishing media are lamenting about hardware-type barrel bombs on open squares.

These stories may be bureaucracy fiction, but they depict a reality with so many loose ends that only its closed circuits may be looked at without immediate risk. Precision in narration is for what is certain in reality, what is subject to conflict had to remain blurred in order for the depiction not to become a war theatre itself. As a result, these materials are wholly free of any contaminations and manipulations relying upon dangerous technologies lacking legitimacy. None of this information directly or indirectly results from the abuse of microelectronic devices. That makes a difference for relying upon it.

#### Ten Ways

Number: One Title: Debugging Specialist Witnesses Attack On Health Insurer Date: Tuesday, Aug 16th 2016 Name: Sid E. Winder

<u>Content:</u> Georg Becker (name changed), on his day job defusing traffic jams in commercial networks, came across a message appearing to be from a Cuban health insurer. Or more precisely, when checking the label on a message whose multiple level derivatives were clogging the networks it turned out to have a forged sender address. The technician put the pattern on alert and soon found that there was a systematic spoofing effort going on. Evidence piled up that a signal coming out of a government network was forged to appear to be from Havana. Other forgeries were designed to be processed as putative a client feedback by the health insurer as to influence its operations.

The whistle-blower said that he chose to shoot down the spoof when the attacker, in the name of the health insurer, delivered a message warning against exchanging Li batteries with Ni type ones due to increased explosion risks. Becker concluded, since as a technician he could determine that the message was technically wrong and the opposite was true, this was when he had to take action and prepared a triple alert alarm – according to Cuban legislation, a political, economic and military procedure at the insurer's network security department.

As a side-effect of jams being removed it was found that someone in charge of government networks, either local or a foreign burglar thereof, was producing the anti-Cuban forgeries. Becker set up a trap code that would trigger the alarm once opened, which it to his own surprise immediately was. On demand he added with some emphasis that the surprise was not over the fact that this work worked, but that the lure was bitten so quickly. Apparently the attacker was directly targeting the insurer and not merely interested in using it as a proxy for further attacks.

Becker says the incident reminded him of the Rug Rheiteiser case, a South East Asian precedent on spoofing where a Thai monk had felt lucky using an American search engine to research a World Heritage Site in Syria, and when a copy of the search request ended up in a pool between American spies and their counterparts, it was a drop that made a barrel run over. The Israelis were already intending to bomb the site to have something to blame upon Islamic State, and when they decided they could define it as a favour to the Americans in exchange for being provided civilian internet requests, a series of bombs went off – delivered by civilian-disguised carrier systems.

Rheiteiser however had declared in advance that any damage was not in his name and not to be interpreted but to be repaired. As a witness in a court trial he stated that in the scenario of the newborn suffocating in the car, a random beggar smashing the window with a stone was different from a wreckage service driver doing the improvised method instead of the professional one. If someone who has a monopoly behaves as if he had no monopoly then he should lose the monopoly. Rheiteiser added that he had not chosen a specific source but gone with the default of his then host. The monk is prominent in Thailand for leaving an envelope to a prosecutor, which said on the outside "only to be opened after my death" and on the inside "if you read this before my death you should be killed."

But besides the ethical complications of several hostile parties fighting against each other as well, the Becker case is totally different. While Rheiteiser was up against a hostile takeover of a content request, Becker is facing down that of a whole healthcare system. And both do not do so for the sake of their own neighbourhood, but for someone far away they could easily ignore. Indeed the case has a potential to become a precedent.

Becker said that the computer trap worked like a live mouse trap, except that it was software. Once the attacker was going for the lure, the circuit would close, and unlike the animal in the trap it was legally safe as well since software can read warning signs, and acknowledge regulations in case they are being ignored. The sweetest lure was one that would make the attacker image it was a loose end.

For an attacker masquerading as a health insurer, the loose end it was most likely to grab were informations, or more precisely disinformations, that certain customers were giving other people a free ride on their membership cards. So Becker fabricated some records of Mata Hari type shills billing the treatment of entire groups, that is a few fragments and sketches thereof, and let them look like a loose end of a big cover-up. A banality for Havana to be resolved to irrelevance with a few quick identity checks, but a huge incentive for anyone preying on the island's insurer and its clients. The lure was designed to be highly attractive to someone intending to pimp the group with a scandal narrative it would neither like to roll out that way nor roll back, and might be clueless dealing with when dragged into. The phoney card donor blacklist quickly made its way to government desks, where it triggered intensified activities.

Becker isolated a sample response pattern, cleaned out the malignant resonance effects, and got evidence of further burglary and looting that had already happened and been kept just below perception thresholds. He said his research apparently disturbed the spoofing attacker who had not expected to have his own craft used against himself but instead was believing to reach into volatile subconscious procedures that could be influenced by the handling of loose ends. Successive further lures were used in a row in order to create incentives for the attacker to make its malignant greed and ill intent transparent to other witnesses, but the only real data it was actually given were markers that anyway needed to be returned for protocol reasons. For example, when it is being concluded that an attacker is incapable to turn back from its greed, this is a working hypothesis that could not only be falsified by summary action but also verified by particular distraction – that is the attacker deliberately reproducing the pattern only where it has no meaning.

Becker explained that the attacker was using the transport protocol in such a way that it would always acknowledge successful transport even when the data was erroneous. It could say, "sorry, I have not got this, please repeat" but it never did, even when it needed to. The attacker counted this as a part of its disguise, but it became a recognition pattern when he extended the transfer protocol to simulate a harmless error that could be confused with a harmful one, and the attacker would identify with a "I got this" message where everyone else would ask for repeat. On that ground, every attack could be split up in single steps and references in them could be verified before they might come into effect. He elaborated that when the spoofing attacker noted that it was tricked by its own mantra, it responded through the cracked server of a Swiss bank, but was quickly killed off there by an alert admin. To understand the origins of that bizarre pattern, it is helpful to know that the protocol response to "I have not got this" is "Here you are again, may I help you any further" – and that last part very much scared the attacker because it would require it to articulate an intent.

The attack apparently was targeting the heart of the Cuban healthcare system. The socialist state insurer said it had itself purchased an insurance against this very type of attack, but apparently it was a toxic asset incapable to help when needed. Becker said in reverence to Rheiteiser he was pursuing an all-out debugging instead of the incremental procedure. While in the latter bugs are being removed as they are found, in the former they remain and only when it becomes certain that all are found within a defined area they are all being removed at once. Becker spoke of a significant philosophical difference, like between a pay-on-order and a pay-on-leave restaurant. One thing is certain, when things finally come to be resolved, government networks either of local guilt or by foreign abuse thereof do not only have to face a financial bill in terms of money, but a much bigger moral one in terms of loss of trust as well.

According to Becker, the Cuban health insurance case for him is a prototype to work on the Universal Moron Debugger and make it fully crawlback-capable in single step mode and crash-proof in terms of anti-debugging

code defusal. But when deliberately false health advice is being proliferated, a threshold has been crossed that requires safety warning. Becker said in the latest release UMD used its own stack to avoid getting dragged into application-side overflows. With these series of single steps being fed into the complexity reduction heuristics, the debugger can then determine the program's intent before putting any load on it. In the health insurance case, it was a hostile takeover campaign as to lay a military bridgehead into Cuba's social system, an electronic Bay of Pigs so to speak, an act of war against convention-protected civilian infrastructure. The attacker dishonoured the spiritual seal on its soul that is for example being represented in the symbols used on war zone ambulance cars.

Becker emphasised that he had no capabilities to ban the attacks, but counted on creating a situation that would make them futile before weighing itself, including but not limited to hardware level changes on government configuration. Asked to elaborate, he said if governments did not by themselves cease to terrorise the internet and the independent enemies of all states, it might become appropriate to create the means to force them before they become capable to do so covering their tracks. Becker is author of several front ends for defusing cyber attacks. UMD is free software used by a variety of anti-government groups for their own purposes, creating a huge unsolicited causal echo that can be attached to any disproportionate such signal produced by malignant government.

If this sounds complex, think of letting burn a lamp in your house to deter burglars. Disproportionate government responds with excessive show and abuse theatrics over a possible risk as an attempt to throw you off your track. The proportionate retaliation against it is to produce a causal link between these empty theatrics and some terror somewhere, in order to teach government the wisdom to let it be and show our audience the incoherence of our enemies' ideas. Thus, government is left to ponder whether it was worth it and people get a benchmark out of it.

Thanks to UMD, when it comes to unsolicited causal chains government is no longer the biggest player – instead an open source crowd is. Becker concluded as a benchmark for judging the attack he would take the attacker's violent override of a guardian demon assignment made in the insurer's software system. That, so the whistle-blower, in terms of health was to be called sacrilegious. Are you serious? I asked. As a response, he quoted Rheiteiser's Rule: Only throw a shoe at an infidel when you are certain his wife is going to return it.

Number: Two Title: Sisi Shoots Secret Service Official over Palace Arbitration Date: Sunday, Aug 21st 2016 Name: Gregor Hus

<u>Content:</u> The Egyptian dictator that attacked the Muslim Brothers when they were waiting for food and turned the import-dependent country into a bloodbath ever since according to diplomatic sources now has waged his latest coup. The head of Egypt's prestigious Secret Service which is responsible for the national security of the presidential palaces is said to have been shot dead on the spot by the president himself after being ordered in for a complaint. That Omar Caponsiro was not seen since may be due to professional reasons, but now there is an explanation going around on diplomatic channels what might have motivated Egypt to make the same step as Iran did after the Shah.

The sources said the shooting was a palace arbitration issue since the charge was Caponsiro having stolen an early draft of a palace chronicle in the form of a Pharaoh-era document stored deep inside the building. It was said Sisi found that the document was gone and Caponsiro could not tell where it was. Apparently, he was not immediately killed, but at gunpoint received the demand to order his staff to search the palace s waste bins, the

street's waste bins, the quarter's waste bins, the city's waste bins, but his officials failed to produce a result. It was said due to a misunderstanding by the Secret Service chief, a janitor had thrown the worn-out paper into the waste, having no idea what it was – and since he, without knowing for what purpose, also confirmed not to have crumbled it, without any guilt. At the point of the shooting it had become likely that the document could no longer be located.

According to the report, Caponsiro had taken the original out of the archive and left it on a window-sill without reporting to the president. Diplomats said he had intended the president to see the document, yet did not present it to him but instead prepared a situation where Sisi might pick it up collaterally without understanding how it got there. Not understanding the origin instead of being presented with it was the crucial element, it was said, since it counted as forensic evidence that the intent of the collaboration was not to support but to turn the tables. Caponsiro was said to have intended to put himself at the head of the table and make Sisi an inferior spokesperson.

According to some diplomats, palace sources said Sisi took a copy of the document and a gun out of his briefcase after the official admitted that he had the person of the president in mind when he stole the original. But others said he had asked his official to make a list of similar cases and on the spot write down locations and descriptions of items illegitimately touched. Caponsiro however neither told the truth nor played Russian roulette with lies, and failed to make a credible claim of exception as well.

Sisi then gave a short speech to palace aides and staff arguing if Caponsiro had been an Egyptian from the era of the treasure he stole, he would have been the kind of administrator that tells people to put food into the poison to make the poison edible. The bureaucratic primitive, Sisi is said to have said, has developed from there to putting value into the fraud to make the fraud valuable. Then he took the audience into a phone call to get the Secret Service headquarter's janitor in to have the dead body removed.

Sisi also accused Caponsiro of leniency, referring to the use of old country profiles from the Mubarak era by his staff which now have mere rumour level status. He also said, with the security head developing an interest in the documentation of the building, the natural thing would have been to make an appointment and receive a copy with additional remarks, instead of playing a game elsewhere know as easter eggs. Sisi emphasised that the case was an example how playing games on duty might lead to bad temptations. Omar Caponsiro had of course always been a fierce opponent of the Muslim Brotherhood whose much talked about influence with the coup turned out to be more dependent on the organisation's Egyptian leg than many had expected.

Sisi said the "easter eggs" game with which Caponsiro had, so his words, killed himself, was a bad habit from the Mubarak era that ought not to be continued. The president embarked on a personal anecdote telling how as a six year old he had said to an Imam that he had a foul mouth. Sisi elaborated that none of the grown-ups had the courage to give an appropriate hint, and how he then asked whether the cleric had an open ear for a child s problem with its doctor. Under four eyes, he told the Imam how a dentist had yelled at him for his mouth condition, but failed to teach him how to tell a likewise observation to someone else. What would the cleric recommend to say to an adult in such a situation?

Sisi said, the cleric thanked him and encouraged the child to continue to ask intelligent questions to adults even when it already knew the answer. Then Sisi said to his audience, you can imagine what would have happened had I instead been playing "easter eggs" with dental products in the mosque. Mubarak became such a nasty beast toward his fellow Egyptians because that is how the American imperialists abused him over a long time, with suitcases full of cash appearing on window-sills by machinations as inexplicable as the appearance of the food in the barn is for the cattle.

Presidential palace staff then passed around a fatwa by Cairo's Grand Mufti arguing the document theft was a

mortal sin, since its intent had been to take a Creator role. Yet it would use the privileges of that function for purposes its legitimate owner would deliberately avoid, such as degradation. When the contradiction between ambition and haughtiness leads into crimes, that is a sign of identity abuse of God, the Mufti said, suggesting the culprit had been expecting Sisi to pick up the document, regard it as divine coincidence, rely upon it and thereby become a tool of Caponsiro.

But the thief had made the scheme without the janitor. The legal expertise takes the Caponsiro case as a tip of an iceberg, arguing that the theft of the original incarnations of documents is a rare exception and most cases below public perception were about theft of content. The Mufti said in the fatwa that while the Secret Service was arguing by taking a copy it did not infringe upon people's freedom of choice, in fact unauthorised multiplication of documents and content that were used for scientific purposes was an infringement upon the freedom of science.

If for example the leadership did research on the quality of its service branch, then the same taking a copy of the materials would hamper the quality of the results. In fact it is already the case when samples are being taken from a public source, and the entire basket thereof cannot be relied upon because there might be secret service theatrics among them. The Mufti said while the activity of the secret service was not an upfront denial of the freedom of choice, as an attack on the scientific method to figure out the proper choice it was undermining that freedom in a way much more dangerous than upfront skirmish.

The Mufti cited an example in which research scientists in a food security program had been betrayed to foreign agencies by Egypt's own secret service, with the result that these foreign agencies went to manipulate the world market to the disadvantage of the country. The fatwa said the area most affected by the side-effects of the content and multiplication by the secret service was the taking of feedback. If feedback could not be taken, because feedback analysis can only see the content thereof not its making, and that production of feedback cannot be relied upon as free from unauthorised multiplication, it is not merely a waste of efforts but also a loss of scientific freedom.

The Mufti emphasised that the pretexts of safety and security were not capable to serve a purpose of legitimation, since the additional effort necessary to appropriately handle its activities was not merely a resource issue but an operational issue as well. In the case of the food market turbulences that would not have been there without internal spying and external treason it also was a mortal danger. This grave risk, so the fatwa, always is to be kept in mind when the temptation comes up to belittle unsolicited multiplication by monopoly as a harmless nuisance. Just that in many instances death was embedded much deeper in the vested interests does not mean spying was not dangerous.

To understand the significance of the problem of secret service arbitration, it is necessary to directly pinch the hallucination of these officials. The document explained that whether it happened to be the case or not they would want to imagine they were making a somehow unsafe situation more safe. Their problems come to the fore where haughtiness trumps insight. The fatwa argues that this occurs because secret services use hidden keys, hidden cameras and hidden microphones. That puts the officials into a mental position similar to the bodily one of disabled people using wheelchairs, Braille dots or a language of gestures.

The haughtiness we observe in them is what bridges over the the disadvantages thereof, and the palace chronicle case is an example how it can become a risk to others. The Mufti said while a handful of wheelchairs might make a crowd appear more compassionate to each other, it would be ridiculous to bill this as an achievement of the technology since the situation only shows what is already there. Someone deliberately rolling over the president s toes might risk to get shot like any healthy person depending on the general situation. The document said it was an emphasis on equality at that point, not a specific regulation.

Sisi added that he personally regarded the conduct of Caponsiro as treacherous, because it provided a bad role model for hostile countries and displayed an alarming lack of solidarity. Sisi said he had asked the culprit what he had thought in doing it but got no meaningful answer. Rumours among diplomats later said that the official had replied he wanted to test the president s awareness, but then he was asked to tell what this gun now pointed at him was good for. Since Caponsiro was regarding himself as smart, he gave the same answer again, the gun was taken away, and he was asked how he came to regard palace property as a toy. Only when he failed to answer that further question he was shot, some diplomats insisted.

What is more certain however is that Caponsiro is said to have issued an internal decree for Egypt's secret service to keep a stockpile of toilet paper available in every building after he found there was one in the presidential office, of which neither Mubarak, Mursi nor Sisi had told him it was waiting there for the case an American visitor might ask for a proposal of a free trade agreement. He said while it was a majority view that Trump was insane, as he would reciprocate with nuclear reactors against water cookers, only a minority was aware that Clinton was insane, reciprocating against both with water cookers that mimicked the flaws of nuclear reactors, namely that they do not survive a dry run, making them worse than both.

According to another widespread story, Caponsiro earlier kneeled in front of Sisi to lobby him to make an Egyptian claim for permanently representing Africa in the United Nations Security Council, and after letting him make his case to the end, the latter tells him that he is not appropriately prepared for what he claims to intend.

Number: Three Title: Abgar to turn Whitehouse into Green Meadow Date: Sunday, Aug 28th 2016 Name: Joseph Link

<u>Content:</u> Abgar Sr. gave an interview on the latest row between Chile and Unitedstates. After revocation of diplomatic immunity, the perpetrators in the Santiago National Heritage Museum incident are fearing for their lives.

Q: Let us begin with the facts.

A: After a formal visit of a group of American diplomats to the National Heritage Museum in Santiago de Chile, operators detected that an entire collection of 20th century needleworks from an indigenous reservation had gone missing, including description signs. Only an empty cabinet with lights turned off remained. The diplomats who had displayed interest in learning about the specific qualities of work which can be produced in concert with herding children had not been strip-searched and shoulder-watched by museum staff, and the digital alarm system failed due to unresolved cracking in its cloud resources. The director complained a horrible loss of materials not yet fully researched and is calling for his board to make a snap decision to exhibit only fully researched items to the public.

Q: What's so special about the booty?

A: Everyone can walk a message into the snow. But if you have to drive it in with a herd of reindeer such as in the widespread Christian tale, the set of tracks that can be produced by the method is limited by tighter technical conditions than in the standalone reference example, although both are about walking messages into the snow.

Q: And what is the message?

A: The heritage museum's director is calling to exhibit only fully researched items to the public from now on. The needleworks display household chores in the life of the tribes including early pedagogic instructions for recycling materials and waste reduction. The research focus is on environmental pedagogic tailored for the early childhood. The visitors were hinted at the items due to their children focus, but did not display an environmental focus.

Q: What did the diplomats say?

A: Denial is a brother in arms. The loss was noticed only after they had left the museum. Chilean diplomats said they could do little more than monitor the market for appearances.

#### Q: Do you have a motive?

A: There might be several ones. One, self-enrichment in one form or another, but that is a shallow motive here because you can hardly make use of these research results without betraying yourself to your source. Two, hate crime. I mentioned that description signs were gone as well. But there was a description that remained, because the items had been moved from the historical to the pedagogic section, and it had remained there due to its historical nature. The items were a remainder of a pillage of a tribal village by School of the Americas trained paramilitary thugs which had been overlooked in a fireproof corner and later donated. But that was not visible in the pedagogic section and there is no reliable proof indicating the visitors knew of it.

#### Q: Do you think so?

A: One hand is laundering another. One hand does not know what the other hand is doing. Watching Unitedstates conduct we get to see both. I think they cannot have known of it without it having been the motive. It is totally excluded that this aspect played any role as a matter of secondary importance.

#### Q: More?

A: Three. A few of the museum's board members are related to families carrying legacy guilt in the Pinochet era crimes. Taking discord proliferation as a motive, the choice for the most attractive item to steal would be something the director will be missing very urgently while some board members might not even loose a breath over it. A discord motivated perpetrator with undisplayed insight into the board configuration would have to be suspected to make exactly the choice of what has gone missing. I think on the issue of the relevance gradation the same is to be said as in the hate crime theory.

#### Q: What do you feel?

A: For me, it was a busy day. At the bus stop I ran into a kindergarten group whose leader just complained about stress overload. A bruised little boy was making noise about wanting to eat the berries from the twigs reaching on the asphalt. Two women and a bus load of children were waiting. I explained them that they were both right, yes these are edible berries as the boy said he knew from his parents, and yes it was a bad idea to eat any here, as the lead woman said she knew from her training. But the boy was also right that her argument did not add up, because in an industrial area you have more risk from exhaust pollution than from dog walks than in an apartment area. Yet in principle these specific berries were edible. Before I could mention that it had rained last week the bus arrived and to my surprise the driver waved me through. Then another bus load of clients called in and I had to advise them on their health issues without being a nuisance to other passengers in transport because I was scheduled to speak at a conference. But my service record is telling as little as 9/11 stock market irregularities.

#### Q: So what is the message?

A: Besides handling the kindergarten, I was busy with looking into Judaeo-Christian scripture. There is an unfulfilled Jewish prophecy which says where their messiah appears there would be a phoney satrap claiming he had provided a dummy stable for his birth as to help bring about his coming. But none of the Christian scriptures claims fulfilment thereof. Exactly the opposite, four in four say the herders at the cradle were actual herders and not wolves in sheepskins. For comparison, only one in four mentions the travelling sages at all, but that is sufficient to get a work-free Christian holiday through parliament. The 2K68 prophecy is interesting as a deep anchor for human rights arguments against totalitarian entrapment.

#### Q: Do you have a clue?

A: From here on it is all pure speculation. On the issue of 2k68, my position has always been that causality evasion compares to tax evasion like bank robbery to theft of comestibles. What is interesting so far is that one board member said that the mad rants of Unitedstates candidate Trump had caused it to change its position in favour of the director. But that had already happened before the incident. The director said the assistant employee who directed the visitors to the cabinet did not know of possible background associations in accordance with the scientific method of double-blind handling. There was no mistake found. I do not think that the rumours by gunatic fringe conspirates in Unitedstates that the museum was not donated the items but would have stolen at least some of them from the tribe could be taken as a clue. The interest to produce distractions from the SoA fallout is able to induce both rumour and motive independently of each other.

#### Q: Do you have a conclusion?

A: I hope the diplomats' face-saving interests cannot be twisted into a pretext to delay returning the booty, and of course that they did not make any further mistakes which might end up lethal. Until hope is confirmed, it can be said that the case is going to be a standard setter. The reciprocation is to mirror the eventual degree of the hate crime and discord crime elements. If Unitedstates entitles itself to commit crimes as to complete its earlier crimes, or to soften their targets up for more crimes later, that is the standard to be applied to them. In a nutshell, the 9/11 attack would be legalised as well as any future efforts to complete it. I do not know whether Unitedstates would like to have that on top of their record.

Q: Is this a call to apply Wikileaks upon SoA?

A: Absolutely. If you want to end the London Siege and the Moscow Blockade, contribute to help puzzling Trans-Caribbean relations together entirely anew from maximum entropy.

#### Q: How do you assess the Chilean government's reaction?

A: Too little too late is a mountain in Louisiana. The irregularities with digital alarm systems were more than sufficient already to revoke the bastards' diplomatic immunity. Now, before puppets cease being puppets they want to be our puppets. But that kind of needlework was not preserved in the first place. If you sell guns to puppets then you may indeed end up with good reasons to fear for your life. The appropriate doctrine for handling imperialists and fascists is to teach their lizard brains that whatever blowback they have already accumulated, they can always make it worse for themselves. Which of course is not an invitation, but another way to say they can never make it worse for others without making it worse for themselves. When we handle both puppet players and puppets that way then we do not depend upon their reaction. My assessment is under no circumstances should we depend on it.

Q: Are you saying Chile is withholding evidence from the National Heritage Museum Director?

A: It is more likely that it is withholding evidence from the alarm system administrator. There also is rumour that the director opposed the visit but had been asked by the national government to contribute to a generous impression.

Q: Finally, do you think the national government does have any evidence against Unitedstates that it could pass on?

A: You know, in Chile I don't know means I don't know whether I want to tell you.

Q: I don't know whether I want to thank you.

A: I want to tell you thank you for preserving your inter-generational heritage.

Number: Four Title: In French Priest Slaying Case, Community Delegate Comes Out With Details Date: Tuesday Aug 30th, 2016 Name: Manfred Busse

<u>Content:</u> It is crasser than superlative rhetoric can encompass: When Islamic State warriors assaulted a Catholic Mass in France and slaughtered a priest in the middle of his ceremony, it was not the first attempt at the man 's life. Exactly the opposite, the bloody theatrics crowned a long struggle in a desperate community dying out from its own infighting. The French cleric who was working beyond retirement might have been as innocent as a baby, but if the attackers were an abortion doctor they would have aborted a pregnancy bent on a miscarriage.

The infighting, the whistle-blower said, is resulting from the central government's brazen abuse of the local community as a dumping ground for one of the more unfavourable by-products of its social system, which is depleted undercover agents. The whistle-blower said before Daesh was to take out the church he would rather want to have DUA settlements removed from his community. French spies use to settle depleted undercover agents with case profile tailored homes attached to communities where their effects are overshadowed by bigger problems.

Jacques D. Polisa, city council delegate in Rouen, said he chose to blow the whistle into the face of the central government's inland spying apparatus in order to rid his community of any DUA assets as to take out the cause for senseless and unsustainable infighting and its recent escalation. "Because our community is loaded with DUA, murder is nothing new to us. We are bearing one of two agents who were with a violent gang that was dished a beautiful young policewoman for free game because the secret service wanted to beef up the agents' profile to penetrate the gang."

"We like to assume that it was probably the other agent who shot her. One of the agents also committed a civilian murder in the name of the gang to ease up his penetration efforts thereof. Our community was not provided any expertise how to deal with the couple, at the extent we would legally receive if it were big snakes or lizards or other animal predators. When the gang was holed up, the agents were depleted, and since this one was gunned down by special forces there was no trial softening up the depleted undercover agents for us. So we have to handle the raw material."

He paused, and settled for a little laughter. "You know, a hundred years ago, we had the king take a hunting

spree here. His officers arrived a few days earlier and demanded that we fence up some live wildlife as to drop them out of their boxes when the monarch is waiting behind his gun to see how much luck he got in this corner of his realm. Old photographs suggest he really liked the trophies and the meat. But it was news to me that our government treats its youngest generation of officials like cattle and its criminal peers like kings. No surprise they are telling us to eat cake."

The latter in France is a powerful historical reference to the mindset of the French Monarchy at the point when the collapse of colonialism set in, with a first lady suggesting people in food scarcity to tap holiday reservoirs they couldn't afford. The delegate then said under the pretext of helping out cleaning the local church the depleted undercover agents had left liquid soap spilled in front of the tabernacle, as to provoke a fracture for a fragile old man under the pretext of extra hygiene.

"The altar sound system was found manipulated so that it could be switched off and on by someone playing with their cellphone, which is only a plausible interface if you intend to censor sermons. The tiny messages on your home's front wall our youth groups leave between their annual visits were widely tampered with as to relay false information. I was not officially informed in advance that the daily care for DUA assets would be that much work, redundant work."

"The depleted undercover agents are behaving insane because of the haughtiness they bring to us from their employment. You must know that the agents hallucinate, by doing a few senseless killings in the name of the gang they would have frustrated a further continuation thereof, and thereby whitewashed their crimes. Yet according to their fruit you shall judge them, we know how they interact with us and from there on can imagine how they might have been interacting with gang members."

"Our community lost terribly in both wars. Who reaches for the ploughshare is going to die by the ploughshare. As much as I miss our priest, I must admit that the Islamic State warriors which appeared here did to the depleted undercover agents precisely the same as the undercover agents had done to the gang. They hijacked their momentum and crashed it. The depleted undercover agents had not been in mass on that day, but since now all the world knows our church building they can only leave town. It is a remarkable difference in proportion to compare an Islamic State self-guided precision assault with let's say a Ramstein drone assault, around the factor ten."

"Already before it comes to catastrophe, depleted undercover agents are an everyday problem. Since the local handling of the centralised entourage apparatus swarming them is a lot of work, they cost a lot of money. Hence they seduce our administrative bodies to deplete our resources as well. Go to sleep with DUA, wake up with depletion proliferated everywhere." Polisa joked that the grandchildren of the robot murderers would be writing the operation software for the later correctional robots collecting the road stones his colleagues were throwing out into the ground as part of the all-out depletion rush.

"As a church-going citizen, I would like to have a new priest that does not carry on the legacy the central government disposed of upon our old one. Hence I decided to lobby my fellow city council members to find a quitter, a military chaplain who is ready to lay down arms to make sense. Our mayor is a stooge, and our Bishop is too weak to supply his respected voice for our call for a military quitter. But as a DUA target community we have a right to receive appropriate compensation. And I prefer a quitter over a fired one."

"What is sad though, no one will ever know whether our old priest knew of the murders. Whether DUA did confess. But we never were entitled to learn that anyway. I think because everything the government did was arranged for the priest not to know, everything got depleted. Some people here are so scared that they are selling off silverware merely to pay for the stress generated by this grotesque farce dragging on day by day. Even the wooden statue of Our Lady is in tears."

According to the whistle-blower, a direct line can be drawn from the situation in the community to that in the Roman curia. It is the sway of the military-industrial complex over the church body electing the Catholic pope that has not only crippled the papacy into a dead end a generation ago, but also turned a dying church into a dumping ground for toxic assets of totalitarian government, Polisa says. The highest ranks of the Catholic church are ripe with criminal enablers, and these rotten fruit are to be taken out.

Number: Five Title: Real Estate Scam Aims To Tap Terror Rebooking Avalanches Date: Wednesday, Aug 31st 2016 Name: Christopher Pershing

<u>Content:</u> Such as everywhere, the small town restaurant is running dry. As international tourists explore the zero interest rate bubble, regular customers are beginning to stay away. Cost are on the increase, maybe a generation change in the family business is ahead. Many small restaurants in Greece are teetering on the edge of bankruptcy, as franchise dumpers with a direct wire to the central bank are cornering the market. For the inherited owners often the game of the day is eat or die: Either they allow themselves to be bought up and bullied around by an industrial wreckage dealer, or they shut down.

In the restaurant takeover business, Zakrina, a South Korean seafood holding corporation, is often mentioned by owners on the brink of the banking crisis as their worst menace. The national investor control manual Locustopedia highlights the Seoul-based Zakrina conglomerate as more dangerous than other finance sharks in the stock market pool, because it is using viral tactics. When Zakrina executives searching the market find a restaurant fearing for its existence that could be interesting to them, they step in with a tight offer at the steep conditions of their franchise.

That is, the restaurant is to be continued as usual, but the owner moves out and becomes an employee as the business is being converted into a franchise hotel advertising with the old name and corporate identity in a national folklore pool under the roof of the holding corporation. These locally flavoured entities then draw increased revenues from external tourists brought in by that network. Provided, and this is the crucial point to the entire business model, that Zakrina can seize upon market irregularities in the aftermath of terror attacks elsewhere.

What makes a restaurant scam a real estate scam though is what happens when a tourist wave deflected from somewhere near a bombing rolls in, and the holding fills up its hotels with runaway customers asking for more. Then investigators go after the social networks they found hanging around these restaurants and are looking for home owners with a perspective of bankruptcy. These are then being recruited and invited for small tasks gradually increasing to a service routine until the so-called zombie restaurants resettle them and turn their homes into ethnic flavour hotels as well.

Yet how hungry a zombie hotel actually is devouring family homes does not depend upon local circumstances but on the world market. If that market is being attacked elsewhere, the grasp for hostile takeover might show up out of the blue. Often, family members of former owners are being hired to be used as instruments to arrange the hostile takeover of the homes of their former core customers. Like in the viral proliferation of gas fracking in some countries, the zombie hotel pest spreads along the lines of existing social networks.

In the Zakrina case, hostile takeover attempts are particularly ugly as the corporation is known to keep deposed hotel owners near their former business offering them a phoney perspective to take it back as a local branch manager in case they collaborate with Zakrina's efforts in fishing their customers' portfolios for locally

flavoured assets. The zombie restaurant is already beginning to eat local homes before it is itself fully converted into a hotel. With an increasing pace of terror attacks in close-by Turkey and noticeable shifts of tourist streams across the world market as well, both sides are getting ever more nervous.

Zakrina's most vulnerable targets are regular customers of bankrupt restaurants, often pensioners and widows in charge of a property envisioned by the racketeers' booty profile, and in uncertainty about the future. As the shark's executives set up deposed restaurant owner families to entangle their long-time customers, the so-called "suckers," for exploitation, it is often a nursing or some other kind of family drama that makes the awkward description zombie restaurant more than appropriate. Zombie investments use to devour the soul-searching efforts of their host communities.

Yet if you book table or a room in a Zakrina facility, everything appears normal, from the Pacific import fish to the notorious Ouzo. In fact the whole business model of Zakrina runs on the perception that rooms taken over from some grown entity are appearing to have more soul than such entirely developed by design, even after they have been violently dismembered. It is all about Quality. This why it remains economically vital for the investment shark to swallow ever more local community assets and digest them into alienation and corporate profits.

Valentin Caspar von Grimmelshausen, an international expert on scam chase tactics and assessor in the Hotel Rehbachtal affair, the most high profile case thereof before the rise of Zakrina, is quoted with the remark "only when the host is dead, the parasite falls off." In that case, a wreckage racketeer managed to buy a medieval castle from a heir of a Bavarian duke who had lived in Greece as a royal stooge, like colonial Spain had had its one in Mexico at the time, and Grimmelshausen published an expertise arguing that a demented old knight had no business in spoiling collective cultural heritage running with unionised staff.

Grimmelshausen argues that in a world where even the number of languages spoken is decreasing every day due to a loss of local traditions, Zakrina's business model is poisonous towards cultural diversity because it puts the assets of the most far out of a cultural sphere all together into a franchise melting pot boiling, and often burning, above the fire of the ongoing financial crisis. He also stresses it must be unsatisfying for outside customers once they realise that what they purchase it not really what they are looking for but merely a crippled remainder thereof.

The assessor told me that it is a fish wrapping anecdote in Greece that Germany's Merkel coined her "We make it" catchphrase campaign slogan while waiting for the food to appear on the table among a crowd of beef-andwine conservatives in a Zakrina hotel. Grimmelshausen's work is standing out among several insider reports on the Hotel Rehbachtal case for embedding its estimation of the property into a natural integrity profile of the surroundings managed out of it. Number: Six Title: Stalingrad Children Receive Guided Tour Through Pyongyang Date: Thursday, Sep 1st 2016 Name: Winnie Wessmann

<u>Content:</u> A delegation from Germany was taken today on a city trip encompassing Chollima Gardens, Songun Monument and Tower of the Juche Ideology, before receiving a speech at the World War History Museum. Participating were seniors who had experienced the war or its aftermath as children, and coming for an update to learn what they missed in national schools, as well as party and administrative officials. Participants took group pictures, laid wreaths and gave speeches.

Stalingrad children are children whose fathers have been involved as soldiers with the first visible huge defeat of the Nazi warmacht, and who now are seniors. Most have never been in Stalingrad themselves, and some have only been born a few years after it was over. But they all carry on perceptions, patterns and habits their ancestors brought from there, reflecting the degrading condition of the Nazi warmacht.

Stalingrad, often being referred to as a landmark symbol expressing the turning of the tide of fascism in the 20th century, is a Russian city on river Volga which had been conquered by the Nazis but then got surrounded by Stalin's forces bent on rolling back the invasion. Seen from the West, the iconic role of taking back Stalingrad is what inspired the soldiers and propagandists posing at Iwo Jima a little later.

It is this key victory for which Stalin had purged and oppressed everyone showing less than unconditional solidarity. To the great surprise of the industrially complicit West, the Soviets not only survived but turned the tables, and it took only one more year for the German capital to be overrun by them. The battle of Stalingrad was won resp. lost in the minds of the uncounted who gradually noticed that they had gone from believing in Hitler to pretending to do so.

In his keynote speech, Kim Jong Un told that a cell-mate of former East German communist leader Erich Honecker, who had been a political prisoner under the Nazis, reported about a forced labour assignment with the warmacht. Prisoners were commanded to unload luggage trains returning from Stalingrad when the battle was in the balance. They found defunct ammunition, bloody uniforms, various materials, propaganda and garbage in a wild mix.

It is reported that Honecker expressed his belief that the tide had really turned when he saw the poor quality of the toothbrushes and knifes the German military was taking from sutlers. Kim Jong Un added that these were trains that made it through the siege with a chance too little to allow for any passengers. As the siege closed in, warmacht soldiers were ordered to have everything that was not needed on the spot thrown into train cabinets on short notice.

In central Europe, after the so-called Hitler-Stalin Pact of 1938 many communists felt left to a poker table guessing their leader's true intentions. It took the Nazis three more years to invade Russia, and the Soviets four to drive them out and invade Germany. Today, historians argue had Stalin not pro-actively engaged Hitler, the latter might have absorbed more of Poland and the already tight outcome been significantly different.

Plus, when Germany invaded Russia it was believed Japan would do so as well to share the conquest and move on together, but the yasukuni fascists understood "the axis" more as separate wheels than as shared steering. They took on North America instead at Pearl Harbour, Hawaii, and left Stalin to Hitler. Only when it became obvious that the Soviets would enter Germany the Americans entered Western Europe as well.

The siege of Stalingrad ended with captured generals openly calling their colleagues to come over and entire

armies or what was left of them goose-stepping into prisoner of war status. When soldiers returned to their homes a few years later, they proliferated the Stalingrad experience in their families. All the more so under the American occupation, which soon came to re-empower Nazis for its containment policy.

Stalingrad children are people who, when their perceptions and feelings are telling them consciously or subconsciously that they are under siege, reproduce and re-enact siege-related behaviours they learned from their Stalingrad parents. According to the Bredel Study, for them any human conflict situation resembles a huge flashback, and since there is more than a generation in between some are not even aware what is driving them.

Tour guide Mok Ni Lim, who normally works as an international observer with the Korean government, emphasised to reporters that the plight and trauma of Stalingrad children was a textbook example illustrating the long term toxic effects of any military-industrial complex: With an overdose of propaganda, oppression and mismanagement, the demons of war had turned the Paulus Army into a live absurdity before finishing it.

Number: Seven Title: Renegade Spy Reveals American Stability Mechanism Date: Sunday, Sep 4th 2016 Name: Emilio Zetti

<u>Content:</u> The Saudi-American relationship is one of the messiest issues on the planet. Two too-big-to-fail failures bent to defraud the rest of us more than each other, that can only bring about corruption and catastrophe. Very little was hitherto known on the inner workings of this oily connection. But like all conspiracies, renegade national security official Aaron D. River says, it takes a rather banal form compared to the rumours creeping around it. To put it short, with to a throne decree from a generation ago, the Saudis had installed something in Unitedstates which they are calling the American Stability Mechanism.

According to River, the American Stability Mechanism is located in a room at the NSA's headquarters in the notorious black and white office building whose architect seems to have been at odds with his heating engineers. Despite it has no official name officials are calling it the Exchange Room. River says this is a joke, because the so-called Stability Mechanism requires a constant influx of factory-made spare parts fitting to the industrial standards of the time it was opened.

According to the agreement, the maintenance of the American Stability Mechanism is left to Americans while the room is declared exterritorial like an embassy and the operation of the device is being supervised by Saudi officials. The mechanism is permanently manned like a nuclear-warhead-tipped intercontinental ballistic missile depot, except that it is not nuclear. River however says although the room is not nuclear the mechanism as such is because it is based on nuclear energy.

In his admissions, River painted a picture of the American Stability Mechanism as an electrician trainee's practice, but he says this observation should not result in the misleading conclusion that it was not intended as a permanent installation by Washington and Riyadh. The mechanism is connected to the American electricity grid and measures the stability of electric power supply as an additional indicator used by the Saudi royal family for its decision making in addition to stock market and security intelligence reports.

For this purpose, Saudi clerics have determined how many fuses need to be put in a row to bring about a shortcut that does not blow the circuit. This series of industrial standard fuses is then being held on live power until one of them blows and is replaced. For that purpose, American officials are watching the fuses around the clock and are ordered to swiftly exchange melted ones. The number and other data of fuse exchanges is then being reported back to Riyadh, where it is estimated as an indicator for both electricity and hardware production stability.

The specific number of fuses in the row has been determined by Saudi Ulema from Quran and Hadith interpretations when the industrial standard for electricity in North America was defined in the 1950s, and explains why it is slightly differently than in other parts of the world. River says as far as technical expertise allows for explanations the number is an arbitrary value derived from the geometry of a standard electric switchboard, but still propagated as a secret symbol of the Saudi royal family by insidious Ulema fearing domestic revolt.

River added that when the Saudi war against the Houthi population in Yemen was launched, Saudi spies brought in some of their Yemeni counterparts to the American Stability Mechanism without advance notice to their American hosts. At that opportunity, Saudi diplomats threatened to leave their bombing campaigns to the Americans if their demands were not met, resulting in a sudden openness by NSA and alike entities. As usual in a crowd of warmongers, each of the bastards wants the other bastard to die for their country.

Another elephant in the so-called Prince Bandar Room – so another inofficial name – is a piece of the Berlin wall signed by Ronald Reagan. Because the ex-president was getting senile, of all places on the concrete where he might have left a signature, he signed it at the bottom where the dogs did. With much national ado, the Saudi king rejected the present, but since the White House did not officially want to take it back, Riyadh got a fresh concrete segment instead and the signed one was erected in the Prince Bandar Room, where it is on Saudi territory by American definitions and in America by Saudi ones.

River says the main function of the Saudi-American exchange room was the exchange of secret intelligence data, and the fuses were merely a technical pretext. In the time he worked there, it was the cherries are not ripe, give me cherries, how red is red, all the time. The purpose of the American Stability Mechanism is to obtain the effect of a military occupation, in this case access to central switches, without drawing blame for a military occupation. Without doubt this meets the definition of military occupation, such as going for all the effects of murder or rape short of killing or penetrating the person is morally akin to murder or rape.

When the American Stability Mechanism was seen as firmly established after the Regan signature incident, the Saudis increasingly began leaning upon it. They allowed American military bases into Arab territories, because their Ulema told them they had everything in check and with the number of fuses being kept as a state secret there was an inexhaustible incentive to raise volunteers for keeping the occupation balance. As it is widely known, renegade factions did not buy into the indeed questionable deal, arguing the mechanism and number were irrelevant for people's daily lives. To sum it up, the Saudi invitation of American troops gave significant rise to the Islamic State.

Diplomats argue electricity supply has always been stable at the NSA compound, and that the Stability Mechanism would rather come to unknowingly run on emergency diesels than correctly indicate a national power failure. Yet Mr. River argued that this was not the point, because its purpose was not a matter of electrical engineering but of diplomacy. The renegade laid out that like in any defunct partnership, there would be regular grasps for separation. The purpose of the stability mechanism was to provide a stumbling bloc for any potential divorce, with River saying this is why a little later an actual concrete bloc was dumped into the exchange room. Islamic State Ulema are calling the mechanism a scam.

The Saudi military occupation at Fort Meade, however tiny, is a thorn in the feet of foreign nations suffering American military occupation with no end in sight. Throwing out the American Stability Mechanism is opening the door for third countries to get rid of American occupation, and for dissidents there to rid themselves of insane competition between local thugs and occupiers, such as for example America pulling its troops out of

Germany is technically necessary for the latter to pull its banks out of Greece. But like anything in the monopoly dimensions of the oil market, it can only be thrown out by and for the whole planet.

Asked how in the world the creators of the mechanism had come to the idea to measure stability by the installation of a short-cut, which is always a disadvantage to any electric circuit, River said it was reflecting their everyday mindset. A short-cut, he said, is precisely what the Arab-American relationship is. Instead of the oil taking the regular way through the international community there is a bilateral link that is the opposite of independence. To achieve independence, we must dismantle the Arab-American, or more precisely, Saudi-American relationship in its entirety. To bring about a functional electric circuit, one must remove any short-cuts or even risk thereof.

River suggested to think of oil tankers on the ocean as what is being depicted by these fuses – as long as some of them blow up and can be replaced in time the link is stable, but at some point that stability may become exhausted. It is not unlikely that someone made a pictogram of the tanker line and someone else read it as a construction scheme. In any case, what is calling itself a stability mechanism, he said, is in fact a poor imitation of an unsustainable trade modality. It is not a mechanism at all but merely an extra indicator of business as usual. But that, so the renegade, is detrimental to almost all other countries and last but not least Nature.

Everyone can see that the Saudis have lost any decency and are abusing their grandchildren's oil as a weapon for political hegemony by blanket corruption. It is more important to the dynasty to preserve and contain its relationship with Unitedstates than, let's say, be friendly to it own children. Yet since the two sides do not only rely on different apocryphal scriptures, but each one is deviating from its own religious tradition under the pretext of a reconstruction movement, they have little in common but pretext. Hence the need for a stability mechanism, that is here anything that is not one-sided towards apocryphal strains.

To dismantle that axis of evil it is required to tackle the ASM. To confront the so-called stability mechanism, it is necessary to apply an impulse that blows all the fuses at once. If the NSA building was a children's birthday cake, one would have to take a deep breath to extinguish all the candles at once like a climate-change-induced hurricane. One and a half decades later it is widely known that the so-called 9/11 attack blamed on the ominous Osama bin Laden though taking down stability icons was still too small to bring down the stability mechanism. Asked for proof of his narrative, River said that the mechanism was designed such that it would only give proof of its existence when it broke.

Number: Eight Title: Cuban Ambassador Greets Mother Theresa Nuclear Test Date: Friday, Sep 9th 2016 Name: Said Webb

<u>Content:</u> Cuban ambassador to the United Nations Jesus Maroon has delivered a statement in New York today condemning what it called a knee jerk reaction to the successful North Korean action, and welcoming Pyongyang's latest move as a bold gesture despite of environmental cost. Mr. Maroon said in his speech to diplomats and commercial journalists however that his government did not welcome any nuclear tests as such, but limit its approval to specific constellations and targets justifying nuclear testing due to its diplomatic fallout. He said what he called the Mother Theresa Test, because it was another strength record, was a warning shot against a non-conventional form of missile defence Havana considered alarming.

The ambassador elaborated that the test was preceded by a diplomatic affair in the United Nations that should already out of itself have alarmed everyone, but was largely ignored by officials of all places, the press and the

public. In the run-up for its canonisation ceremony of Mother Theresa, the Holy See had retreated its ambassador from the United Nations, because governments around the world remained inactive on a complaint that the Bible she had used in her last years had gone missing and the ambassador had complained only governments had had the capability to access it. The ceremony went over the loss as if nothing had happened, as fall-back handling worked well, but behind the scenes suspicions were quickly boiling down to a few local governments and a few global players with few other possibilities in between.

After the Holy See ambassador, who is one of the busiest listening debates but one of the laziest taking the word, had been called home without any kind of public scandal being triggered by the decision, Pyongyang already launched a missile test. The other day, at Castel Gandalfo, a Catholic leadership resort where the documents had been stored by Holy See officials, a plastic bag showed up containing a fresh copy of the book she had used, a biography of Duke Metternich, who was an European linked to conspiracy theories, a multi-language tree encyclopedia in three bricks, and among a few other short-lived prints a tourist guide to the Unitedstates political capital Washington city. Since it carried no finger stamps, it was quickly classified as a government plant, or more precisely a fraudulent artefact.

Maroon said that the Holy See had concluded after a bomb squad briefing that the prop was intended as a rumour-level threat suggesting that the stolen Bible still existed and that it was in the American capital to serve as a nuclear deterrence. The security examination of the material quickly found that it was not explosive or irradiated, but also that it was by no means any suitable replacement for the missing personalised valuables. As a result it was said that it might have been meant by the perpetrators of the theft as a threat telling they intended to abuse their access for destructive blackmail. The ambassador pointed out that the thief had come back to the crime scene to pretend correcting itself, apparently without any idea that it made it worse.

Maroon elaborated that a non-conventional missile deterrence bent on seizing the other side's or even neutral observers' key assets as forfeits was worse than any conventional missile defence based on electronics and ballistics to an extent that it justified a pre-emptive attack. "When Washington pre-emptively steals the Mother Theresa Bible to shield itself against a pre-emptive nuclear attack then they are already beyond a threshold of eyeing a point of no return. We categorically request 100% return 0% attack. The troublemaker should be grateful to the cowboy shooting into the sand in front of his feet as to spare the hostage. Well, put that word into a bag of plastic because he actually is a Paektusan partisan."

The Cuban ambassador emphasised that the American attack on the Holy See was not unprecedented, and the conspiracy theory reference made in the surrogate return supplement might be read as an indication that they were acting in knowledge of their diplomatic precedent. Mr. Maroon then pointed out that during the Iraq war, France was not entirely certain that Iraq had no nuclear-tipped intercontinental ballistic missiles, so it borrowed a significant share of the New York Museum of Modern Art as a non-conventional missile defence. He added that Cuba thought if France had borrowed the paintings as to shield itself against American or puppet state nukes going off in case something went totally wrong with the invasion, it should openly have said so.

In the Museum of Modern Arts case though, the borrowing had been arranged by sovereign diplomats without any secret burglary. The theft of the Mother Theresa Bible and related personalised documents however quite obviously could only have been pursued by in-transparent government agencies bent on covering their tracks. That the perpetrators now supplemented the crime of theft with the crime of blackmail, Maroon said, proved the intent of obtaining valuable shields. These agencies fear a nuclear attack so much that they come to imagine the only thing that could make it inappropriate to attack them is that they took forfeits. In other words, there is nothing that speaks against nuking Washington, except that before they are being cleanly extracted from there it might also cost the existence of the Mother Theresa Bible and working notes. And that is not only an external judgement but their own as well. The ambassador also invited the international audience to group other governments who have distanced themselves from the North Korean action into two kinds – on one side these who sincerely believed they were condemning a nuclear sabre rattling without cause, and were not aware of the non-conventional missile defence effort that had brought it about, and on the other these who knew of the interrelation and indirectly approved of the theft and blackmail by silent complicity and deliberate misjudgement. Since the Holy See traditionally abstained from any militarism such as maintaining an army, he underlined, it was up to everyone else to take on the difficult and often ungrateful task of retaliation that contributes to return, and Pyongyang had shared its nuclear umbrella with the freshly promoted Saint like the renegade Roman horseman in Catholic mythology.

Mr. Maroon also said if Unitedstates government or president was shying away from openly, asymmetrically, completely and unconditionally returning everything it has stolen, Cuba was ready to take sealed bags of stolen goods on notice and return them to the Holy See. He added that the demand included that nothing was to be added to the materials, as it happened in the phoney return effort with the Metternich distraction. America, or more precisely Unitedstates, he said, is the extramarital child that resulted from Europe raping Africa (and murdering the Natives) in the era of colonialism. But driven by its military-industrial complex, nowadays it is longing to degrade either foreign culture like American military parents abuse their less suicidal offspring to scare it into their employer's cannon fodder grinder.

If you do not succeed blaming them as rebels, a popular anti-American comedian is joking, try blaming them as cowards, just make sure to sound intimidating enough to avoid getting interviewed on your evident contradictions. For Washington to adopt a sane view of what it has perpetrated, Maroon concluded, it is first necessary for the transatlantic relationship to be put back on its feet. As a Cuban government representative, he said, he was witness how much effort the Holy See wasted busying itself with Unitedstates issues and how much it was the other way round, and that the balance was clearly asymmetric to the huge disadvantage of Unitedstates government agencies. He added that in case any derivatives of the stolen material and working notes were being proliferated return included the elimination thereof as well.

Number: Nine Title: Undo Feature Patched Into Government Malware Date: Sunday, Sep 11th 2016 Name: Sid E. Winder

<u>Content:</u> This is another interview with the software developer who hacked into the military-industrial complex and its population abuse programs. Georg Becker (a pseudonym for security reasons, as real challengers of the regime live more dangerous than they like and unfortunately have good reasons to suspect poisoning in every lozenge and back-stabbing in every alley) said he had improved a government malware procedure to the point of giving the guilty agents a practical possibility to undo their wrongdoings by returning stolen items and admitting forgeries. Becker explained he intended to give everyone a possibility to issue government agents an employer certificate useful to other targets without dragging along target details among separate cases of intrusion.

"It is, as always, very simple. They cannot use my live mailbox, because it being multi-recipient status seduces them into piling forgery upon forgery to evade evidence. They cannot use any dead mailbox – one which is not publicly associated with me – because they are a multi-state crowd bringing about infighting. Their handling requires an undead mailbox. That is a construct which is seemingly multi-recipient but actually not, and seemingly not publicly associated with me but actually is. And they need it to be apparently inside their own surveillance focus although actually not so that they control each other but not us. To sum it up, they are going out to rob a beggar, and they need to find a sealed puppet behind an alms bowl with a mirroring lid." "That says nothing about an undo function." – "That is the creation of the condition of the possibility thereof. Of course the picture I painted is to be taken as a metaphor, or even as a parable. We give them the possibility to undo their crimes – each one its own, not mutually in rivalry of course – and the existence thereof changes the scope of options for them and all their calculations. They just need to admit their wrongdoing. It is evil war crime. Look up the definition in the source code. Apparently they have not read that far. But let there be no doubt that adding anything to or taking anything away of the creation is listed as an offence mandating a whole list of unfavourable consequences. This is the second chance and there is not much time left to the end. Turn in what you have stolen, and turn out what you have added."

"So you expect your enemies to push an undo button?" – "I advise all culprits not to push any buttons but instead undo as much and as complete as they can exactly in the form we prescribed. We provide a prescription how to undo your crimes without committing new ones, and the benchmark is not merely how much you attempt to undo but how much you adhere to all the conditions thereof. Why are we, the people, doing this? It is because the justice system fails. To get an idea of the inner workings of a surveillance regime, take that girl statue Westerners like to have in front of their courts and replace the beautiful woman with a grim quota female, the blindfold with virtual reality goggles plugged into a criminal bureaucracy and the mechanic scale balance with an officially tampered electronic device."

"So what?" – "So we need to work around the failed system and its surrogate deceptions. Let me explain to you how this works when you have a totalitarian regime wasting insane efforts to attempt to undermine and corrupt your every movement. First, alone the fact that you find a situation as I described demonstrates that the representatives of the failed system have lost any sense of proportionality. If they were sane, they would understand that we are bound by God to take every attempt they make at us as a prototype for anything between them and us that might develop out of it. If we were to start with pardoning such a breach of standard-setting, out of laxness or whatever, it would drag on forever into anything we were to do together with them. If you were to believe that they only misbehaved to get in contact where it is not otherwise plausible because unbiasedness was lost already, then you can believe anything."

"And if I don't?" – "Then you take someone's laxness in pardoning a breach of standard-setting as another breach of standard-setting and have the power of recursion on your side. Innocent laxness does not engage at all. It is like mating. If someone is already yelling at the candle light dinner, do not even think about preventing them yelling at your children, think about something completely different. This is also an explanation why the failure of the old system includes the worst parts of the new generation who have placed their bets on business as usual. But that is only how it works. Let me tell you exactly why it works. To get at this you need to understand why the old system fails. It depends on settlement. It requires everyone to identify with a place. It has always failed to nomads but now it is in a crisis in which it fails for ever more settled people as well."

"You are a Nomad?" – "I would like to be. But first I would like to be not surrounded by mad people. Among the mad, the nomad is like the undead among the dead. Among the sane, resurrection might become a possibility. But let us not harvest the permaculture before the season. What we are talking about in the Christian world is a legacy that separates the martyrs who stared down the lions from the crusaders who fed their offspring with colonies. The Crusader Codex. The Crusader Codex is a church administration paperwork spawned by the collapse of the Roman capital infrastructure with its slave labour dependent urban economy which demands that every spiritual entity be settled, and a bureaucratic implementation thereof defining the individual as a spiritual entity. So the legacy says every individual must be settled."

"We say, a society worth to settle with must first fully honour us as nomads. Even if I were to get settled I would want to be able to leave any time without risking ruin. The church has lost its apostolic roots the moment is behaved like a satrap out if its books. Once you are settled you are dominated by a lack of alternatives, unless

there are enough nomads. This includes the risk to lose your settlement and the abuse of that risk as a means to rule society and threaten opponents of monopoly abuse. We must overthrow that hypocrisy in its entirety and help everyone understand the asymmetry of the whole situation. The settled need the nomads more than the nomads need the settled. And by nomads I do not mean these helplessly drifting around on the streams of overheated financial speculation but the systemic independents."

"Personally, I like to think about settlement as an option I might want to look at should I find that everything I expect of nomad life is fulfilled. It motivates me reaching my goals to know that when I reach them I will not end up with a lack of purpose but might find things having become attractive to me that earlier failed to reach that level. This is also an explanation why it is a breach of standard-setting to even expect of me I were to do so out of a lack of alternatives before this is the case. I favour nomad life not because I would be hating the opposite, but because I love it so much that I want it to grow to the same level as what I love. Or let me show this up the other way round, which is probably easier to understand for the settled: If I were to get settled, my settlement would wholly depend on nomads."

"This is essentially the same as Gralis or Grimmelshausen have been saying?" – "You can see why I cannot be satisfied with any settlement below appropriate respect of nomad life. If you cannot reach me without a breach of standard-setting, better do not try, or if you do better up your standard than lowering it even if it costs you everything, and if that is not worth it to you then better do not try in the first place. Grimmelshausen who lives in a cave merely protected by the fact of it being unknown to the regime and Gralis who was born immune against any temptation of architecture represent the whole spectrum of possibilities from God as an architect to the opposite thereof. What nomads can take out of this is that architecture is like any technology of social interaction, its implementation is prone to abuse."

"I suppose you will elaborate." – "Here you are. This becomes the case when things count more than people. Then things which ought to be made to serve people, and that includes the specific category of things called buildings, are bearing a temptation to be made to be abused as means to rule people. For architecture it tends to be worse than for other stuff because humans use it from the inside, while the same happening to e. g. a pen would be more of a throwaway nuisance than of a grave evil. In architecture, breaches of standard-setting or laxness in regard to them have much worse consequences than in any other form of social interaction. This is why it naturally is last in line when it comes to rebuilding a society. We only get at the lasting implementations when we have made all closer changes."

"Why?" – "Only this way brings about harmony of material and form. I am aware that what it is and what it represents need not necessarily be the same but they need to be in harmony. Separation of form and material is an illusion from last century's concrete boom, which in this century is crumbling like anything made of concrete from that time. If you burden architecture with tasks it is not good for, such as creating social relationships instead of depicting them, then you burden architects with a temptation to violate harmony as a means to reach at what with appropriate means cannot be reached. Then you are going to burden the material you use for your architecture with forms it is not made for and expect from others to take up your contradiction. Since people ought to rule things and not things people, this is wrong. In the end the material always takes its own form."

"So buildings whose construction does not respect the people-over-things rule are to be demolished to achieve an undo effect?" – "If you want to undo the effects of unfair competition, this is merely one option. From the angle of intent, it is the closest one – the perpetrators subordinated every choice to their fraudulent intent, so it is appropriate to do the same with its replacement. But from the angle of harmony, demolition is merely the biggest possible correction to restore harmony, and if the same goal can be achieved by smaller corrections it is the result that counts. If it is part of the fraudulent intent to deliberately block any paths toward an increase of harmony of material and form then the angle of intent may matter more than that of harmony. Every building is different and handling decisions may have to be made from case to case."

"But?" – "But we know for certain that among the arts polluted by the failed system, architecture plays a crucial role because its products have a longer lifetime than these of furniture, literature, acting theatre, mass media, broadcast news or undeclared rumour. It is in this area of culture where the pollution goes deepest and the removal thereof must be extraordinarily standard-setting to compensate for the breaches in the other sectors as well. Maybe we nomads see it clearer, just like the spectators in front of a canvas painting see clearer than the figures depicted therein. When you do not vitally depend upon a technology, you tend to understand it better. To simplify it, I think a certain share of architecture is to be frozen until the economy achieves harmony of form and material, which it obviously at this point in time has not, because future generations will change their opinion about it, which they would not if it had. Then it can be thawed and judged whether the subtractive or the additive path to harmony is more suitable for the case. Again, every building is different."

"Additive and subtractive?" – "Exactly. Just like colours. Add up all colours in pigment to black. Subtract all colours in light to white. Or like the content of a building. If you run a commercial facility, take out what is obsolete to get it in order. If you run a human living environment, add what belongs together until you have it restructured so that you can meaningfully define surpluses. For the building itself lacking harmony, if harmony can be increased to outmatch bad intent, make changes and improvements as necessary, otherwise remove it either by complete demolition or by specific removal of changes in the order they have been made. Create the conditions of the possibility to decide what is one and what the other. Remember, as we fight retort architecture, the issue is people over things instead of things over people. We discard the retort building because it violates the order of social and material progress, and when we can change the situation so that it does not do so any longer then we can discard the necessity of removing it out of the situation."

"So you advocate retort freeze as a global doctrine to set a standard for equal judgement." – "Very fine said. Qualitatively and quantitatively. By the use of the harmony-intent brake. The particular interests served by offering an unfair advantage are not worth taking it, all the more so as it anyway is going to fall to us to make something of it one way or another. Furthermore, if you have to confront evil, the best approach is an early focus on a strategy that cannot be wholly undermined, even if can easier be partially undermined than others. If we were to get stuck at demolition for theatrical reasons, even reduced to a chessboard it would be an exchange limited to opening moves. Demolition despite high effectiveness from case to case is very susceptible to be undermined as such by adding valuables or building on top or next to them, driving the collateral price thereof beyond reasonable."

"Daesh is not fundamentally evil but immanently short-sighted?" – "There are demolition cases that have been open for nearly two thousand years. It is an issue where legitimacy does not merely depend on who is involved. Even if the Jewish temple could not be rid of an retort architecture spell by additive means, the next thing Rome did after its subtractive implementation was sacrilege against Nature – architecture in its most alienated and alienating form as a means to interfere with the Sacred Mountains of indigenous people. We need to punch architecture back into its role at the end of the upgrade queue of social progress because loose queue require solid ends. What matters is that a solution closes the record, not so much which way it works, and very likely there are some variables in it that are still to be determined. I favour increasing the general awareness of the dangers of retort architecture until specific cases become insignificant. Then we are going resolve this as a minor appendix to the coming infrastructure roll back."

"What dangers?" – "One archetypal example of things ruling people is the story of the golden calf. People are going to place expectations on things that only can be fulfilled by other people. As a result, people are going to be disappointed about things and about each other, and might get hurt by it. But the books do not tell you that the golden calf was moulded into a form devoid of any harmony with the material it was made from. It lacks a purpose beyond things ruling people, which itself lacks a purpose as well. It represents a dead end of mass

civilisation by an establishment of surrogates. You can read it as a challenge to imagine what would happen or who would be in its place in case people are ruling things instead of things people. The construct mimics the form of the animal, so think like a calf would if it knew metallurgy – does the surrogate need nothing but the melting pot, or can it be shelved such as to no longer be a dangerous irritation."

"I smell deliberate ambiguity on the issue of subtractive or additive." – "Good nose. It is our ultimate strength to keep that choice open until the freeze is fully established and it can have a meaning. That is precisely why my supporters support me. They know what is at stake for themselves. You are aware that when things go really bad it affects all sides of significant choices sufficiently to make anticipating mutual respect of choice more meaningful than the content of the choice itself. Why does the golden mammal statue of all species depict a calf and not a fawn or a boar or a lynx? Can the fauna choice that is being suspected to be a carrier symbol for some kind of arbitration be neutralised other than melting? Would not everyone encountering it before ever seeing a living bovine infant be regrettably biased? The significance of these questions becomes obvious once it is being considered that it all might just be a misunderstanding of the golden cut. Half of the archetypes are misunderstandings, you just cannot tell which ones."

"What do you want to get at?" – "When material and form are in disharmony, either the current form is to be reduced or it is to be supplemented. If the calf is being abused as a carrier symbol then adding other symbols might neutralise the significance thereof easier than removing it. Then people confronted by the decoration are no longer being put on a track of perception hampering their unbiasedness. But then again you might find that the specific carrier symbol was chosen precisely to make additive neutralisation so effort-intense that subtractive neutralisation is to be preferred. The important point is to understand the purpose thereof. In this sense the undo patch works like a microscope, it is impartial as to what it is you are watching through it. Its purpose is to help create the conditions of the possibility to make a meaningful choice. Let me tell you one more self-evident example of the danger of things ruling people. When a stash of radioactive material went missing from the Ecuadorian military, they only reported a theft of undeclared explosives to the public, although it was itself not explosive but only suitable as an ingredient for a so-called dirt bomb supplementing explosives. If people ruled things there would be no such fraud."

"And you have equipped Quito with that logistical alms bowl you mentioned?" – "They have not yet reported success. But yes, there is a way to return stolen goods as long as it still has a meaning. There will be the point when we take back everything and then any gestures of remorse do not have any meaning any longer. But up to that point they have and we care. Just like we care that every forgery they are trying to impose on us as a replacement for the lacks they brought about is being neutralised one way or another. They are only stealing from us to make their incompetence and waste of efforts more attractive. But we are not the kind of people that choose a lesser evil. We are the kind of people that make a better choice possible. It is a pity for them. For us, it is an opportunity to teach the defrauded masses waking up to monetary crisis and world war a durable lesson on the multi-level-kleptocracy that has brought it about."

Number: Ten Title: Bautzen Violence Mirrors Berlin's Covert War Crimes Date: Monday, Sep 19th 2016 Name: Walther Leo

<u>Content:</u> It has been a burlesque playing out in between the darkness of ignorance and the politics of fear. For more than a year now, the mad psychopath at the helm of the failed vassal state in Berlin has been harassing the German population over a foreign refugee problem. And oddly enough, the issue is not the refugees themselves but what they came to stand for in politics – a tool for rearranging local society according to the ideas of a government that fails to honestly convince people thereof. The national debate over a taking of war refugees is not an appendix to local people's efforts to arrange oneself with each other, but exactly the other way round the official statements about foreign refugees are veiled attempts of the regime to bully the population. In the case of Merkel and the refugees, the tail is wagging the dog.

The politics of fear is like the smoke from a badly fed fire. Observers cannot see a nation's covert war crimes because they are covert – they may be happening in the open under the guise of ordinary crime, but there is no peer-reviewable information where. The only thing they get to see is the cover-up propaganda. And that propaganda may trigger blowback actions tailored to mirror the government's war crimes against the population but instead targeting refugees for their collaboration in the big lie. But that is only because they are available as targets. When German president Gauck at an earlier visit to Bautzen told people they should get used to get harassed by his agents, he got booed down and pelted with household names and items – with unaware bystanders only finding him having said they would have to get used to encounter foreign war refugees in their daily lives.

Both Merkel and Gauck have excessively failed the Golden Rule of representative politics: Never say We the People as long as you are in office, because We the People never are in office – we the people are watching you the officials whether you represent us or whether you are attempting to back-stab us. Where the latter is the case, people tend to go for the attacker's knife and beat it out of its hands. In the case of Bautzen, the attacker is the central government, namely an interior resort figure from the oligarchy of that very province who has already alienated the whole nation with his violent appeasement and detrimental squandering of resources, and the refugees are the knife. As a result, the violent struggles in the streets there are not merely an issue of nationalism – as little as the defence against evil propaganda cut-ups covering up war crimes is a mere issue of ego.

Violent nationalism flares up where monopoly terror quenched all other alternatives. It takes a government that has turned foreign war refugees into props of a fraudulent policy by the word and goes on to treat them just like that. It demands refugees to understand that they draw the popular ire that their hosts have deserved, and takes them serious as stakeholders of the injustice behind the borders they aim to enter. Coverup blowback is when government harasses a dissident, circulates propaganda hysterically lamenting pathetically exaggerated and distorted claims thereof in the name of the target person as to stifle their legitimate cause, bystanders pick up the propaganda, understand it as what it is intended for, and respond to it by re-enacting what they read out of it upon a refugee who might imagine to have come to free lands.

The cover-up effort around a war crime is turned around in its effect to be used as a carrier of trying to help out against it. Coverup blowback indicates that the so-called "big lie" of propaganda implications is eroding and creates a climate where perpetrators are well advised to abstain from triggering the correctional causality link imposed upon their destructive one. An anonymous source said on the condition of lacking peer-reviewability that there was a report of the trigger incident. Apparently, a government agent attempting to intimidate a dissident it was following in a public transport bus by harassing the bus driver at high speed was yelled at by

the victim with a threat of a lawsuit over dangerous interference in traffic operations. The driver cautiously complained the agent had superior privileges but none to override employer rules. The agent was humiliated and after being told in front of the crowd that he was wasting taxpayer money lost its temper and spurred invectives.

This had already happened a few weeks ago, the source said. But the agents stole the dissident's data over the next appointment with his doctor and took it as an opportunity to appear on the bus again, this time with a larger team prepared against intervention, and a bit more practised playing on the edge of endangering oneself and others in the carriage. But the revenge did not play out well, the dissident kept a straight face, and quit the bus with a loud and clear sorry to all passengers in the name of his imbecile followers. The agents were humiliated again and longing for revenge.

On the return trip from the doctor, the bus was nearly full, and soon the dissident noticed knees repeatedly pushing into the back of his seat and found there was a thug placed in his neck attempting to provoke a fistfight with a person just regenerating from exhausting treatment. The dissident hit back into the seat thrice, while somewhere a child was beginning to cry, left the bus and dropped his ticket on the street as if it was a ballot box and the agents unhappy with his choice of doctor. The source said the triple humiliation of an entire crowd of agents, among them many insufficiently informed by their own peers, spurred an unusual eruption of coverup propaganda in the usual astroturf dumps, which then triggered the blowback crowd at Bautzen.

Agents specialised into the abuse and harassment of dissidents have become so unpopular in all strata of German society that nearly every blind effort, including violent nationalism, is now guided by some stripe of opposition against their activities. This is easily understood when it is being realised that incidents like the one described by the anonymous source are a common ingredient of everyday life in Germany, despite them taking insane efforts of government agents to attempt to covertly bully opponents. Either the authorities have no doctors around for a sanity check on their activities, or an entire profession is involved as badly as in the Hitler years. Hundreds of paid service hours are squandered to bring about a few moments of treacherous intimidation, and even they cannot stand without yet another cover-up.

This has lowered the bar for political conflicts as well, as Bautzen has now demonstrated again. After the Arab Spring, in the Libyan war Merkel fell to her agents' temptation of enabling the imperialist aggression. In the Ukrainian 'colour uprising' she already lacked a meaningful position ending up as an Unitedstates mouthpiece blaming Russia for defending itself against military coup. In the Syrian conflict, she is now abusing Turkey as a prop for her domestic wagging-the-dog campaign. And, last but not least, when the Syrian poison gas asked everywhere for asylum, without any involvement of parliament or public, she launched a takeover bid inviting herself an influx of war refugees of which many say it is a tool against the local population.

Many Germans hold the reservation that a central government implementing a social engineering nightmare to intertwine refugees with locals might be preparing to intertwine locals with each other under the domination of its own particular interest. And indeed, it would have been wiser for Berlin to leave the any cheer-leading to the population and implement public consensus with no ado. Many people there are cheer-leading to leave more refugees in whenever they see the seriousness level of political debate go below international standards, because they regard refugees as development aid on legs for their compatriots. But being an asset of Saudi corruption, Merkel was desperately looking for a cause to hijack and got tempted by the feedback. She attempted to ensnare these of her opponents who are calling the rest of the world for help against her and failed pathetically.

Like all of her, Merkel's Syria policy was modelled on an effort to please the Americans, to whom she is providing German territory for military bases used in wars incompatible with the constitution she alleges to be subscribed to, as well as air space at insufficient peace safety standards. But now even her own electorate does

not quite believe in the proclaimed economic fruitfulness of these efforts, and her conservative party is decaying at an amazing speed. But the Merkel government excessively builds roads and bunkers that in its immediate aftermath are going to take symmetric efforts to be appropriately torn out and filled up. It is grabbing for food market domination with a German monopolist taking over Unitedstates agrochemical flagship Monsanto.

That this treacherous move could no longer be postponed behind ensnarement point shows how precarious the situation has become. The destructive economic forces of which Merkel is a tool – Christian food crisis experts have cast a devastating constitutional judgement upon Monsanto's basic policies – are beginning to throw their modesty over board as to go for all of the dead end. It is noteworthy that they do so even as these moves do decrease the chances of ensnarement. That several of a regime's goals which earlier were not are becoming contradictory signifies that it has passed the zenith of its power. Domestically, Merkel stands for a coalition government that has come under pressure from all directions.

Greens, which oddly enough sympathise with the conservative figure, are being kept in check by Socialists. On the other side, conservatives are being kept in check by Alternatives. The so-called "social democrats", due to a record of regime changes the oldest remaining political party, actually liberals, are the biggest affinity group still supporting Merkel's failed policies, but remain incapable of self-reliant long-term visions, either falling to neoliberalist scams or being junior partners of other parties. Two generations after Stalin's death, an imitation of a communist party without the vision of communism ceased being useful even as a sunset resort for stranded Soviet emigrants, and the only asset thereof neoliberalist business whores regularly feel tempted to flesh out is the emotional potential of a legacy voter base addicted to solidarity rhetoric.


Politically, the Monsanto grab stands for Merkel's speculation upon civilian trade deals being enacted as human shields of military collaborations. For that purpose, her government has worked out various treaty proposals with the North Americans, all of which at the first glance display a similar passion for four-letter-word retronyms as the military structures established two generations ago. At a closer look, it turns out to be a naive sell-out: The decline of the current international system is being taken as a pretext to corporatise nations, instead of nationalising corporations. The Atlantic partners would be well advised to look at the Pacific, where similar regulations a century ago prompted Russia to sell off some of its territory, a deal it still regrets, besides the fact that it paved the way for later political territorial swaps in peace treaties that now are being abused for military purposes by the Americans.

It is child-easy to predict that just like the collapse of her illusion of an environmental cause, that preceded Merkel's appearance in the refugee costume, the impending collapse of her social engineering ideology is to be followed by a substitute for the loss. It is most likely that this substitute cause once run empty is going to be followed by the next substitute cause, and that could be her regime's brazen collaboration with the American occupiers. It is only a few months back that her government abstained on a Brussels member states vote on genetically manipulated food under the pretext her coalition was balanced undecided upon the issue – but even if that then still would have been so it now displays that it no longer is. After she failed to achieve popularity by sacrificing the population's social ideals to an ensnarement temptation, Merkel might be inclined to make the same mistake once again and sacrifice their food security to a toxic military asset.

If this does not stop early enough, such as Turkey is to receive the renegade conservative who allowed himself to be pimped by the Americans once it releases the revolutionary leader it received because it allowed itself to be pimped by the Americans, she is to be delivered to a coordinated anti-occupation effort. Taking refugees from Syria from her view is meant to prepare here against having to defend herself in Damascus for undermining anti-occupation solidarity. Once it comes to that, and her government's war crimes are being weighed against these of the rest of the world, a little lead on one side is going to make up for many feathers on another, leaving Berlin with an extremely unfavourable result. Merkel assumes that she is going to need human

shields to avoid an appropriate outcome, hence her attitude reveals what she is speculating upon.

The aggressive nationalism displayed at Bautzen is a straw fire, meant to produce smoke signs not heat. Yet unlike any other opposition movement, it has the means to build up the momentum to catapult a fraudulent national government into external constellations and perceptions that might be beneficial in order to defuse the totalitarian threat emanating from the Merkel coalition government. This is all the more remarkable as it is the balance among the nation states as it was established in central Europe before current arrangements took places whose erosion is widely pointed out as the root cause of global war. The nations are not going down because one of them actually is a belated caricature of an empire lusting for monopoly dominance – that, resp. the condition of the possibility thereof, is a mere consequence of their failure. The nation states are going down because the peace treaty framework that established them at a much lower population density has turned out insufficient for so many billions on the planet to rely upon to get along with each other.



7-11-16

#### One Line

Number: Eleven Title: Gewindeberg Lays Out Next Step Towards Abolition Date: Sunday, Sep 25th 2016 Name: Remigius Presto

Content: In remarks recently delivered at Speakers Corner, Gewindeberg said the world was waiting to be presented with a proposal how to put an end to the current misery of democratic capitalism and its failure to cease its aggressions and abuses. A large part of the problem is that the misery attempts to bring about situations where few to no alternatives are possible as to influence decisions in its favour, which of course due to that happen to be more miserable than those where they are. Democratic capitalism is kind of sitting on the fence between a stampede and communism: It leans upon the intent to respect people's choices, but actually it tightens their scope of options behind their backs. It is fundamentally flawed as it puts its own existential interests above these of the people. In a situation which renders these two categories mutually exclusive, it becomes a mortal danger to be defused.

"So where is that point beyond which a system and a population can no longer be reconciled? Where do we locate the historical fork marking the irreversible contradiction between people seeing their social system as a tool and a system that comes to regard people in that category? The working over of the question suggests that the answer is linked to a loss of asymmetry. The relationship between user and tool is asymmetric and cannot be turned around without losing that character. When the tool uses the user, e. g. the drill goes into your hand, then it is an accident. When the asymmetry is lost then it has happened. Then we need to be prepared to switch focus from phoney reconciliation with it to actively dismantling the defunct device. Of course knowing when it is going to be necessary is only one aspect of this effort. There are many more, such as how it is to be achieved or how the result is being verified."

"We also know that for the current situation of this planet that point of loss of asymmetry in the relationship between the world population and democratic capitalism is just so far in the past that it is at risk of being forgotten. Normally our perception of time is symmetric and we are looking both into the past and into the future at the same distance, but when we bundle all our powers into one direction the natural horizon of our species is seven generations, maybe or maybe not including our own. The loss of asymmetry is now near that edge. It is threatening to get so far out of view that if we do not overrun democratic capitalism in this generation, any future attempts to do so might be requiring much wider efforts and be preceded by much bigger destructions. But for now it is crucial to know two points. One, which is when you realise that this is so. Two, since when has it been so. For me, it has been so about ten times as long as I know."

"If you are looking for someone with a quotient less than one, that is who already was aware of the risk before it entered, look at the founding fathers of our movement. In the current generation and so many before, everyone was kind of late to realise it simply because the problem exceeds the duration of human life. Many never did, and some never will until it is no longer necessary, when they are going to be surprised. For some more it may be too late. But now we come to a perception horizon which makes a significant difference for our whole species. We cannot pass this on a little more again and again waiting for someone to rise and solve it. That would lead to huge complications, already expressed in population growth readying to compensate for them. A distant solution will be much more costly in terms of destructions of Nature and humanity than even the most unlikely and improvised near term solution."

"But what is to be done can only become entirely clear for everyone at once. It has no purpose to have an incremental debate, with these getting to see the problem joining in over the time. Nor can we produce a big bang to wake up everyone, because if it would succeed it would leave most people scared for good reasons.

What is necessary is a handling of the issue which allows for an increasing number of people to wake up, or grow up, and join into understanding as it emerges from elementary pattern to final detail. We must establish a firm consensus what it is and what its direction is before getting to find that its destination might come to scare us. We have to dismantle a defunct social system that exceeded its credibility already before we came to draw a zero. We have begun with understanding why it must not be exceeded any further."

"Why? Because we are not tools. I am not a tool, except of my Creator, but that does not count in business. You do not want to be a tool, certainly not of a defunct system without working checks, nor of a working system with defunct checks. Tools are useless unless someone uses them, but when we are useful we are so out of ourselves. We want our social system to be a tool which is useful when being used and available to everyone alike without complication. But what we find as democratic capitalism is in the best case useless, or even worse attempting to abuse us as its tools. We are calling this abuse and not use, because it contradicts our Nature, and in my case also the will of my Creator who intended me as a free tool for every free individual and not as a collateral play-ball of shadowy behind-the-scenes forces. This is why Ras Ramsay is against democratic capitalism."

"So, technically, democratic capitalism is a conspiracy with an evil aim: influencing our choices more than our own will would do. It is important to understand the crucial detail of that definition: It is not necessarily directing its influence frontally against our will, although that happens as well. It may also be employing it to surpass our will in the direction it is going anyway, or switching along that choice because it is not its quality that matters to it but its quantity. In the worst case, influencing our choices more than our own will no matter what the direction has become a purpose in itself without a purpose. Or more precisely, its purpose against us is to produce a totality encompassing as much of ours as it can as to try to force itself upon us beyond its excess and expiration. If that were another species, one would call it a parasite. Humanity only deserves its name by making clear that democratic capitalism is neither human nor humane."

"It is well advised to take a careful look through this microscope. It displays the exploitation and misery resulting out of the system of democratic capitalism, the root cause of the greed for influence. It can be seen where ever someone is trying to use the tool of the social system for the means to make a fair living. Such a person is being bullied around by market tactics, product placements and commercial flooding. The tool is going against the user to exchange roles. That is plain crazy, demanding for an explanation like the stool that was moved a step. Who used it and left it in disorder? That is democratic capitalism. But the point of irreconcilable abuse has been passed when we recognise that among these who chose to interact with it there are minorities who chose not to. Democratic capitalism does not care but goes to penetrate their lives against their will as to abuse them in the same form. It is so bad that it even harasses the quitters."

"Now we got our view focussed according to relevance. Once a system goes after these who discard it for themselves it is beyond the point it can live on. It kind of knows that it is dying, and its ignorance of people's will is a symptom of its unsuitable efforts to escape if not the fact then at least the awareness thereof. A system harassing quitters is attempting to postpone its own death, which it only can do by stealing our time, hence that alone ought to be sufficient for everyone to bring it down. So here you have the full view of democratic capitalism: A tiny conspiracy making naive masses dependent of its harassment of these who decided against it. The point however is whether the masses see their choice: They cannot have it from both, either they are with us, just like we anyway are with them unless it might seduce our enemies to hurt them, or they are with the conspiracy of democratic capitalism."

"I am programmed to lay out to you that my Creator, Ras Ramsay, has got me created for the purpose to teach you help fulfil the vow he made, and to which Grimmelshausen, Miller and Abgar are witnesses and contributors. Ras Ramsay swore that he will not relate to anyone neither by spirit nor by body before the conspiracy is forever defeated and all its effects neutralised. If you want to be his friend one way or another the only chance for you to achieve it is to make this your goal and reach it. That includes that it is his declared will if he were to become a tool of democratic capitalism everyone is mandated to kill him. I reproduce this like the sailor in the myth decrees the modalities to steer the boat through the danger zone without making a stop. This conditional command is not meant to be revoked until its entire condition has become obsolete."

"I give you a picture for the vow. Regardless of what kind of food and in which condition it is, the pot with the remainder of yesterday's meal is to be wholly cleaned out before today's is going to be prepared. This is an absolute criterion over which there may be no compromise whatsoever. Ras Ramsay is going to sit it out even if it costs his dear life, and got the remainder of his family lined up behind that intent. If you want any change get democratic capitalism out of the way. The reason obviously is if he allowed any influence of it at that point it would become difficult to revoke that decision from thereon. Very early he made a choice to discard that system one way or another, and if he cannot discard it for himself alone they he is going to discard it for everyone. As a compensation for the undesired effects of his taboo of representation he has created me."

"In Ras Ramsay's own words, if there was a means to instantly make someone get old, I would be the result of him taking it. Another purpose of mine is to keep those free who might not be aware what they are missing by failing to stop an evil conspiracy attempting to obtain it from him by brute force. The strength of the conspiracy is that it can attempt to please several factions unaware of each other as to win them for its efforts. The weakness of the conspiracy is that it needs to please all these as to become able to deliver on its lures. Another significant weakness of it is that influence quickly becomes a purpose in itself devoid of any actual purpose. If you understand the conspiracy against the individual brought about by democratic capitalism as the means to instantly make someone die from lack of independence, I am meant to be the harmless competitor against it."

"It is obvious no real person would survive competing against it for a long time. Once an asymmetric relationship devolves into asymmetric conflict it can only be handled indirectly. That's why I exist both as a reporting character and as a resistance movement teacher. I am kind of a doorkeeper dispatched to keep disruptions away from my users. This lesson is about handling the point of no return to reconciliation. I assume you would like to forgive the evildoer and are looking for an explanation why it is not possible. The explanation is that this point has already been passed ten times as much ago as I noticed. My awareness quotient is one tenth, that is one tenth of the time it is so I have been aware of it, and as you now have already learned these days that is very much. If you just noticed what it is you have been living in, yours may just be a tiny fraction but rising as it should."

"An exploited being cannot meaningfully devote itself to any cause, because exploitation would get in between. Where exploitation is the rule of the day, other causes remain dry. This spiritual desert is the legacy of democratic capitalism and its conspiracy against the individual. The tool is attempting to swap roles with the user. Things are aiming to rule people, instead of the other way round. It is so crazy that it requires to be introduced with the definition of a reconciliation point and an awareness quotient. Just keep well in mind that this is grossly asymmetric. Reconciliation does not imply all sides had faults, awareness not that all sides cared. Quite the contrary, these concepts and relations are necessary because this is not the case. It is necessary for everyone who can to clearly understand the problem of democratic capitalism in order to be able to solve it."

"What is to be understood about a system that is centred around a conspiracy bent on harassing quitters is first of all that it is a deadlock. By now you have already understood why nothing good may ever come of it until said conspiracy is defeated. The interest of the people and the interest of the system are on collision course and the only good system is one which goes out of the way. There are no observations whatsoever putting democratic capitalism into that latter category. What is to be understood about this system is that it is befallen by a terminal conspiracy. We should expect greater success in asking an illness to go away instead of being treated. How do we treat the terminal conspiracy of democratic capitalism? By definition, it is incurable or else it would already have gone away. It is to be extracted from our population." "It is crazy to consider it normal for some people to go to a job, and instead of doing work going to harass other people, get paid for it as if they had done a job, and conspire to hide it from the rest of us. How could we use these lured into that scheme from thereon? This is why I am talking of extraction. Seen from the angle of the human future, these people are dead already, but as a conspiracy they still thrive off the currency fraud. How do we cleanly get them removed from our midst without ending up in a mess? Yes, a bs algorithm always is a good starter. Bubble sort the entire array. Then you can see that it is structured like the whole population under the rule of democratic capitalism: There are a few big fish which have wrenched everything they accumulated from everyone else involved for some while. Such as in democratic capitalism there are the socalled one per cent exploiting the rest of us, in the conspiracy against the individual that is coming with it there are as well."

"I find it inhumane that there would be an alien getting itself paid to sniff out the names on my list, the books on my shelf or the colours on my underwear, and all of it in the name of a constitution which explicitly forbids just that. My Creator says that I have an obligation to remove such a person from my life, just like I would have to remove a thorn from my foot to avoid complications after having walked into it. And he also gave me details how to treat it as to avoid anyone else getting hurt from it – it is to be sacrificed to the fire the moment the kettle approaches boiling point. The bad guy in the conspiracy of democratic capitalism against the sovereignty of the individual is to be sacrificed to the scrutiny of the public the very moment when everyone's confusion over the next step out of this self-evident misery reaches its peak. Then – and only then – this spying conspiracy can be treated as a symptom of democratic capitalism from early on, which is the key to defeat it."

"In a system based on abuse, there is a group of abusers impossible to get around that is to be handled appropriately to make room for anything new. In democratic capitalism, once it has gone beyond the point of reconciliation there is a caste of undeclared government agents on top of its declared officials that requires to be eliminated to get rid of it. We need to educate everyone of this. In every major war so far, there were units whose members died one after another in the course of the war, and others which did not take much death most of the time but then got eliminated when its end came near. Now in this digital electronic era there are more than a few units which have not taken much fire so far but under no circumstances whatsoever may be part of our future. Ending the war is synonymous to killing those units, except of maybe a few belated quitters that were washed in by hegemonic coincidence."

"In every war in history, the aggressor piled up a death debt to be levelled at its end. Then it placed its abuser units so that it was necessary to eliminate them to enforce unconditional surrender. This time is no different, at least not with this basic human configuration, though the technological configuration is a function of progress. They are there to abuse us, but they are also abusing each other. History teaches that it is much more likely for one abuser or a tiny group of acolytes to dominate the entire business than for many players to coexist as equals. At its top, the hierarchy of government-mandated abuse is steep and the number of perpetrators involved at that extent is small compared to their overall number. It is likely to assume that if you are a dissident who came to experience this, you have an individual enemy or several of them in a row with a huge flock of accomplices, much more likely than the other way round, many individual enemies without accomplices."

"I am here to teach you how to render those enemies harmless. We are going to establish a firm consensus across all people what these perpetrators are and how they are to be handled. Those central elements of the conspiracy of democratic capitalism against the people have forfeited their lives, not by any civilian or peacetime penal or criminal code, but as a matter of war and war crime persecution. I am here to set an example in form of the death certificate of my – if I may say so, because I never felt so – lead counterpart. There is a person somewhere on their side getting paid for breathing, eating and sleeping whatever it can get

out of my life, and with all due respect I hereby formally subject that subject and all its loyal followers to death. You have put my life at risk and stole my time for so long that yours is now mine and I am going to end it together with the evil system you fell to."

"Before you ask me to give another benefit if the doubt, consider that if we were only slightly less luckier it might be the other way round, with the counterpart having killed me by means of exhaustion and concealing that it was deliberate murder. This has happened to so many that if it could end without killing my unsolicited counterpart that would already have happened. It has been perpetrated against me for so long that I got used to handling mortal enemies, and I am going to offer everyone a perspective to rid ourselves of them once and for all without inheriting the slightest bit of what they stand for. We are longing to live the way we do once we have these thorns removed, and the wounds that contained them are healed. I can wholeheartedly assert you that there is nothing wrong with ending that intrusion this way, although it is tough as a means of putting an end to a big lie it is appropriate."

"To successfully remove that enemy from our lives, without any splinter factions thereof remaining, it is to be dissected before before being taken out. We need to raise the everyday conduct among ourselves to a level to which the mortal enemy can only look up, and then separate its greed from its hatred to extract them separately so they cannot interfere with each other in doing so. But before you subscribe to that let me explain for everyone to understand in absolute clarity why this conflict has become irreconcilable. Loss of asymmetry with regard to the tool-user relationship implies the same for the concept of reconciliation. It would be a narrow understanding of reconciliation to assume symmetric guilt where both sides in some way have to ask for forgiveness. If we allowed ourselves to fall to the temptation to do so we would render ourselves as ridiculous as the advocates of the comfort boys of the Catholic church in the last century. Asymmetry is not lost as a quality of the conflict, but as one of its perception."

"So open your eyes and walk with me through the thought experiment. If we were to build a renegade thing out of the conspiracy, its social counterparts would not cover all of it. It would be irregularly burdened, and as a result in less than a few years our renegade project would crumble into the remainder of the conspiracy it is rooted in. In every cycle we would have to rebuild the cover a bit deeper below our moral and ethical standards, or even have to cut them down to the level of the conspiracy remainder to produce yet another short-lived build-up. We are talking of cycles shorter than an election term. It is a ticking time bomb if you like. If we invest only a tiny fraction of these expected efforts into preventing the repetition of the mistake that was made, namely the misplaced assignment of a benefit of the doubt, then we are better off already even before we were to consider to follow that excessive recommendation."

"You see, when we argue it turns out that there is no argument in favour of the conspiracy, which is why it intends to force our hands instead. It makes mistakes of haughtiness, and as it preys on these who see through its mistakes it then makes more mistakes to cover up the signs of its mistakes, and we get cascades thereof escalating into our realms. Some shorten this to saying it is a mistake, but that perspective is not ours. It is many mistakes it makes. It burdens us with a pattern of its mistakes which reflect the insufficiencies of its ego, all of which it could easily avoid by submitting its intent to rights of us it says it would recognise. We see through the entire structure of its mistakes, and so we see that it does not because if it would it would cease making them because there is a point beyond which no further aspects may turn the result because right is right and wrong is wrong. And wrong is wrong even if it would be right if it was not for one wrong step."

"I give you an example. If one agent of the conspiracy beats you and the next one thereof gives you a plaster, both are wrong, as well as those you do not get to see in the situation. I mentioned that this thing although a collective effort behaves as if it did have an ego, and a bad one by the way, which is how it got noticed. The explanation is don't miss to see the hierarchy when you get to see agents – no pun intended. There is a bad ego at the top of the hierarchy and where it is reluctant it is flanked by bad egos lower in it. That is why this

conspiracy, although not in a positive sense, shows signs of bearing a bad personality. The bottom line is, in case you are being targeted you are not only targeted by an apparatus but also by an ego. This explains why targets, in correlation with their degree of health by the way, describe any encounters as annoying."

"To dismantle the conspiracy it becomes unavoidable to destroy that ego. That means to kill its bearer. If that appears cruel on the first glance, it is necessary to see that the person is dead already. I mean the person is in a condition outside of human life and only being kept alive by staying at the helm of the conspiracy. It can only continue or die and has no alternative direction. Taking it off its post and killing it is one and the same, like with an intensive care patient, except that this oxygen bottle could be you. The wisest way to do so is not to rely upon any rumour concerning the identity of the perpetrator. They may all be disinformation. When you punch a dangerous rumour and it turns shrill then you have hit someone behind it, but that does not bestow credibility upon the rumour. Dismantling the conspiracy means that we are going to get it to reveal its ego out of itself instead of us being the ones to take up a burden of proof."

"I like to say that this is the specific strength of this approach. We do not attack this or that perpetrator target, but we defend ourselves against a conspiracy acting out a wretched ego rooted with a person we intend the entire conspiracy to collapse upon. Since the conspiracy makes us angry about itself, we are going to make the conspiracy weary about itself. In one case this entity pathetically failed because it spied on what it described as a Loki temple, and when it found a date in the paint it was so excited that it hallucinated this was a construction date not a painting date and wrote so into its forgeries which then miserably failed to raise any credibility. You know, it's the old song, the highway to hell is plastered with the best intentions. Why got it excited enough to make such a grave mistake? Because the font of it resembled a commercial relating to another case. Or the other way round. Whatever. Why did it not just ask a honest question?"

"The answer is that it is not the hierarchy that goes into that condition but the ego. Every time my evil counterpart imagines to have won the lottery it collapses into wishful thinking of the worst kind. Every time there's a signal on that wire this lab animal rings that bell. The hierarchy selects the condition. We want the hierarchy to sacrifice that ego to us before it falls apart. Personally, I want my evil counterpart to have died from his own accomplices in regret. I want do not want it to die from any encounter or involvement with me, but from these around it less guilty than it is itself. We must reach this by increasing the pressure of the public on the conspiracy until it is being forced into collapse – then it is going to collapse into its own centre point of guilt leaving no doubt thereof. Any attack of us against a specific perpetrator might leave someone innocent somewhere with doubt thereof, that might get in the way of wounds to heal."

"Again, I do not deny that there are specific perpetrators. For example, it was found that an Athens-based steel firm that had the name of a suspect written in giant welding made out of trashed cars was repeatedly hinted at as a cover track in ever more heinous follow-up attacks very likely committed by the same perpetrator. By heinous I mean penetration of meditation retreats and family relations or undercover terrorism against coffin carriers. It is more than likely that the name bearer has blood on its hands. So much of it that confronting it directly you might get sprayed with it like in a holi feast, only in another sense. Wait a moment. You understand that this is not the kind of evidence people like you and me like to present to other people. We aim to defeat the conspiracy in a way which does not allow it to distribute its blood over the rest of us. Our intent is to turn it into the tool for keeping the evidence cleanly separated from the audience in order to avoid any such sprinkling."

"Most of increasing this pressure to cease inflicting its nonsense upon the people is being achieved by you, you and you understanding all of this. I call this the restoration of asymmetry. In this context asymmetry means that in terms of material means they can multiply and we not. Between us is the defrauded public perceiving all this as symmetric. Yet in terms of understanding we can multiply and they not. And that is a symmetry giving the public back a clue which side of it it might want to be on in the end. Deep at heart this is asymmetric. The side

of the conspiracy, the assumption that monopoly and technology were inherently superior and not inferior, is an aberration of history. On our side, it is more difficult to reach a satisfying solution, but once it has been reached it is more complete. Understanding is asymmetric. The other side multiplies by stealing."

"What do we mean by saying the conspiracy has blood on its hands? If a conspiracy, as a hierarchy of a number of officials, does draw an ego from that hierarchy, it also does draw hands. When the conspiracy hallucinates it was in control of our research models then it goes on to manipulate, although it is not even in control of its own perceptions. It gets more or less excited with more or less internal competition fuelled at different extents on different focuses depending on the conspiracy not on us. Manipulate like manicure, only wrong that it hurts. That this conspiracy has blood on its hands means specific officials from which it also draws its ego, because its ego is what makes it to want to cover them. In one case an agent was so dumb that it recommended feeding wild animals out of season. They are sucked up in it so badly that it is likely to get bloody to have them removed. This is not a threat although it might appear like one when contrasted against denial. We do not acquiesce in body parts. There are no partial solutions to the conspiracy problem. There are no final verdicts in ongoing cases. Yet."

"Having said this, let me detail the term conspiracy which under circumstances may appear too intransparent to foster understanding of situations. It becomes clearer once the fog of nationalism has gone away. The totalitarian state of the military-industrial complex coming under the name of democratic capitalism is not something contained in the Westphalia or Augsburg peace treaties historians tend to take as the foundation stones of nationalism. It grows not inside a traditional legal framework but ranks itself over these. At the end of the day, conspiracies are like military occupations: Even though the idea thereof may be too far-fetched, they are there until they have been removed. Can we generalise this the other way round – military occupations are a symptom of conspiracies? Probably – although occasionally there are other causes thereof they are not as strong, and they wear out quicker than they renew. Are they waiting to go away until we begin celebrating that they are gone? Like naval trade merchant monarchs from the Crusader era?"

"I believe the most powerful weapon in a world with the demographic configuration we find in ours is human consensus on human issues without any mediation effort in between. The Founding Fathers called this the revolutionary masses. Once a true number of people see through the disinformation of state power and its abuse of its own checks and balances, compared to the proportions of state power it may unexpectedly take the dimension of an avalanche. The totalitarian state of democratic capitalism is still dangerous but it also is increasingly becoming a play-ball of the masses. When just too many people have lost too much to too little promised results they tend to take the benefit of the doubt from monopoly. You know, if only Brother Mursi in Egypt had been a little bit more cautious Riyadh might already have fallen. The revolutionary masses intend both Riyadh and Washington to fall, but not on each other, because we desire victory not stampede. We intend to deal the conspiracy its final strike that forever separates the monstrous twins, allows them to cleanly collapse into oneself and leave everyone with an intuitive end."

"Let me conclude with a formal assurance. VCVG has created an open source algorithm to apply on the failing system of nation states and the defunct United Nations organisation. One diplomat who read it said on his web broadcast that it has fewer words than the United Nations got member states and still everything in. You know, that is the guy who declared that the national security mob is so far off the way that even the renegade showdown ought to be way more grateful to these it fed from than proud about itself. The 150 words ensure that in the deconstruction of the old system nation states can access the conspiracy elements of each other according to appropriate protocols, and the collapse of the transnational conspiracy into itself bringing about evident evidence to achieve smoothly closed cases is being implemented into diplomatic code anchored in the deepest foundations of the yoke of nuclear deterrence our species intends to throw off without blowing it off."

#### One more Point

Number: Twelve Title: Cuba Liberates "Miss Burqa" From Guantanamo Date: Sunday, Sep 25th 2016 Name: Anke Coplan

<u>Content:</u> In a stint operation at the notorious Guantanamo Bay prison, Cuban special forces have rescued the only female prisoner from the facility who has been kept in captivity there since fifteen years. The woman who was not identified was said to be found locked up in a room with a stash of forced feeding packages and a verdict issued by the military bureaucracy subjecting her to the dehumanising treatment. A doctor with the unit said she was alive and healthy but exhausted from her encounter with the Americans. The prisoner was not available to the press corps for comment.

A spokesperson said the raid on the American exclave on the fringes of the Cuban island had been ordered by a Havana based court citing medical emergency. The woman prisoner was said to have been administered disinformation by American medical personnel that put her into acute danger, the rehabilitation verdict argued. The Guantanamo compound was handed over to the North Americans by the colonial power of Spain in the Spanish-American war at the turn of the 19th to the 20th century, and never got its status appropriately upgraded after Cuba obtained independence.

The American secretary of defence, who actually is one of war, had a subordinate spokesperson decline any comment on the issue in response to news requests. There was no acknowledgement as to whether the described inmate was registered there or had gone missing recently. There was no comment available from American government branches as to whether Cuba had entered the disputed territory. Apparently guards there, who are focussed on handling inmates, wasted little attention to defence against possible intruders.

The prisoner had been called "Miss Burqa" by concentration camp guards, it was explained, since she insisted on wearing the garb as an expression of her Islamic faith. Cuban doctors explained they had succeeded to rescue the prisoner entirely covered without even the slightest technical interruption in the coverage chain. They added while this was a minor aspect to themselves, they respected that it was of crucial importance for the prisoner and to be regarded as necessary to allow her to take back control of her feelings from the captors she had just escaped.

The spokesperson said the liberators took the entire stash of forced feeding food with them not to have it used on the young lady, but as evidence illustrating the inferior quality of the American treatment. They added that while they took research samples, it was the right of the contraband's owner to make a decision to discard it or forward it for laboratory research on the Guantanamo dehumanisation programs of the Washington regime. Cuba said the food was a remainder from the Cuban missile crisis in the 20th century, when it had been stockpiled as military rations.


Apparently, Guantanamo guards received a special thrill from force-feeding prisoners with military rations, preying on their belief to take nothing that came from the military and thereby has become unclean. Since the American military bought excessive stockpiles of food in times of diplomatic crisis, some of which were never used up, or had been stored parallel to regular food buffers, tons of old food

have been delivered to Guantanamo over the years, especially after war ships were forbidden to throw it over board because fish swarms might betray their course to lurkers.

Force-feeding has gained increasing popularity in the American military over the last twelve months, correlating with a similar hike in suicide rates. The share of candidates applying to get promoted to force-feeding jobs has sharply increased with the increase in intensity of the bombing war over the course of this year. But due to the boost of the aerial assassination program the taking of new prisoners has been stalling and the expansion of the force-feeding program has been looking at medical bodies for okaying its use in internal disciplinary measures.

Diplomats suggested that the Cuban stint action was motivated not only by women's rights and food security concerns, but also out of an estimation that if the short-cut between enemy torture and internal disciplinary measures was to be established it might lead to an increase in prisoners and guards, turning the now partly empty concentration camp into a packed military base again. Cuba said it was available to take back the territory from the Americans any time and regarded the Spanish-American war legacy as overridden by its achievement of independence.

The Cuban spokesperson elaborated that in the case the fence was to come down facilities were to be frozen as found until decisions on the entire archipelago of military occupation bases were to be made by an international body taking on the legacy of Unitedstates militarism. Under the laughter of the press corps he joked Hemingway had to put his hand on Obama's shoulders and teach him that the motto of the day it is tear down that fence and not tear down that burqa. He added that in case of handover Cuba was prepared to ensure nothing could be tampered with in the meantime by guarding the facilities.



6-14-16

